

First Name	Last Name	Item	Comment	Meeting Date	Submitted
Max	Asghari	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	Hello, I have been living in Sherman Oaks, North of Ventura for the past 17 years. The noise level just gets worse everyday and now the flight path changes. This is just unbearable. We can not get sleep and going to our backyard for some fresh air in the pandemic is just unbearable with all the noise. We have expensive homes on the north of the blvd and paying a lot of taxes and this is not right. Please Help	9/7/2021	09/03/21 1:12 PM
Jonathan	Starre	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	Do not concentrate the flight paths over anyone route, particularly over my house! Be equitable. Distribution of flights over a wider area is fair, but routing them all over a single path will destroy habitability of the poor neighborhoods located under that path, like mine.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 1:33 PM
Marsha	Swiller	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	I highly object to this re-routing. Flight paths should be evenly distributed throughout including south of the Blvd. as they currently are now. We already have our share of aircraft noise and pollution.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 1:34 PM
Vivian	Johnson	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	Vivian Johnson – I oppose re-routing making any changes to the current paths. I live north near the 101 and Sepulveda and we already have our share of aircraft noise that you are taking into consideration. I hope you will be considerate and fair and distribute the paths evenly as they are now. Thank you.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 1:42 PM
Jason	Pope	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I am a resident and homeowner in Sherman Oaks, north of the 101 freeway. Moving flight paths to turn earlier and push more flights from VNY north of the 101 freeway is not a fair or acceptable solution to this problem. Pushing air traffic over a more densely populated area (north of the 101) and letting the less populated areas not bear their fair share of the noise is simply not acceptable. Everyone hates the noise and pollution. IT MUST BE SHARED EQUALLY. Maximum dispersion must be maintained and enforced so that no one area is forced to tolerate more than another. Just like residents south of the 101, we do not want more air traffic over our neighborhoods! An important difference is that there are far more people, children, schools, and businesses north of the 101. Why should we bear the weight of more noise and pollution than residents to the south? Pushing flights from one area to another is just not a solution, especially when we're already dealing with an intolerable level. We have the vast majority of flights heading north and east, but taking off to the south and circling our homes, schools, and businesses. You cannot support a change that will increase the air traffic over our homes and kids. Please DO NOT SUPPORT modifying flight paths so that the residents north of the 101 freeway have to tolerate more noise and pollution. We just cannot take it.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 1:51 PM
shelley	Nelbert	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	Move the flight path back to where it legally was... My once quiet home has become unlivable	9/7/2021	09/03/21 1:53 PM
Thomas	Carter	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	I live north of Ventura Blvd near the 101 and oppose moving the flight paths. This will only compound the already heavy aircraft noise and pollution we currently live with.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 1:56 PM
Betty	Johnson	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	How can you even think of adding more noise and pollution over our homes? I highly object to this. You must be fair to everyone.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 1:59 PM
joann	benjamin	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	We hope that all of us (south of the blvd., the 101 corridor, the Valley) can share in the distribution of airplane traffic rather than sending airplane traffic over the 101. I live near the 405/101 interchange and already deal with noise from the freeways, but more annoying is the constant helicopter traffic with responses to activity/accidents on the freeways. I moved here knowing that was possible, not to encounter even more noise assault from jets and additional air traffic at an expanding VN airport and re-routing of Burbank airport traffic. And consider the times of day. Now that I work from home, noise disturbances are even more apparent. Please consider a fair distribution across the Valley. Thank you.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 2:04 PM
Margaret	Novack	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	I vote NO. You can't change the routes.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 2:18 PM
Adam	Granz	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	Highly object to FAA re-routing flights over the 101 FWY.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 2:22 PM
James	Roberts	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	Changing routes would unfair to those of us that live near the 101 in that you would compound the noise and pollution. I oppose this decision.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 2:22 PM

Ilene	Abrams	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	Please don't add to the noise and pollution we face living near the 101. Do not re-route planes over the 101	9/7/2021	09/03/21 2:49 PM
Alex	Park	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	How does it make sense to reroute and concentrate planes into narrower flight paths over more dense areas? We should be reducing general noise air pollution, not refocusing them towards the more dense areas!! This is not a fair and equitable solution to the residents of Sherman Oaks and Van Nuys! Don't pander to the wealthy residents south of Ventura. We should all be in this together as a community.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 5:02 PM
Ann	Rubin	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	Please do not change the eastbound departures to all going over the 101 freeway. We live just north of Ventura Blvd near Sepulveda and already experience mainly flights . It is wrong to make a decision based on a few very vocal affluent homeowners and ignore the many of us living in the flatlands who are unaware of is going on with their effort to not hear any flights.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 5:22 PM
Jennifer	Lechter	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	Hello, I live south of Ventura and a few blocks west of thr 405 at Valley Vista exit. The noise from airplanes, big and small, is incredibly disturbing to our daily life. My zoom meetings even hear the airplane noise. I have lived here for 11 years and the last 3 years have seen a 400the noise was not an issue prior to 4 years ago. The flights overhead are increasing dramatically each month. This is not fair! Please route them over the large Sepulveda Dam area and Piece College as noone live there. Thank you dor your time. .	9/7/2021	09/03/21 5:55 PM
Marcia	Franklin	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I have been awakened 11:30 pm, 12:30 am by planes either coming or going from VNY. Why do you call it a public airport when only private places use this airport. Sometimes it's so close and so loud I'm afraid it's going to fly into my house. I've been here 20 years and the past couple of years hasn't been fun. .	9/7/2021	09/03/21 6:01 PM
James	Goldschlager	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	I object to the re-routing of flight plans through my neighborhood at 101/Hazeltine. Flight paths should be evenly distributed throughout the area including south of the Blvd. as they currently are now. We already have our share of aircraft noise and pollution. No one neighborhood should have to disproportionately carry the air traffic leaving and arriving in the San Fernando Valley.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 6:43 PM
Janet	Loftis	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	I object to the re-routing of flight plans through my neighborhood at 101/Hazeltine. Flight paths should be evenly distributed throughout the area including south of the Blvd. as they currently are now. We already have our share of aircraft noise and pollution. No one neighborhood should have to disproportionately carry the air traffic leaving and arriving in the San Fernando Valley.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 6:43 PM
Alisa	Granz	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I strongly oppose the FAA re-routing flights over the 101 freeway.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 6:58 PM
Alisa	Granz	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I misunderstood and incorrectly sent a note previously saying I oppose this re-route when I fact I do not oppose it. I'm sending this note to clarify. Thank you.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 7:06 PM
Todd	Bruno	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	Move the departure turns to over the Sepulveda basin, as before. I have been in my house over 50 years, and am ready to sell. I can not use my patio anymore because everything comes to a halt every 3 minutes when another friggen jet goes over, noise and jet fuel over everything. Fix this.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 7:36 PM
Rosa	Menart	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	I live north of Ventura and highly object the re-routing of the Flight paths over the 101, flights should be evenly distributed throughout including south of the Blvd. as they currently are now. We already have our share of aircraft noise and pollution. Planes from Burbank and van nuys fly over my home everyday, adding more traffic will be unbearable to work or even go outside. Please leave the flight plans as is. Thanks.	9/7/2021	09/03/21 8:58 PM
Parto	Bassiri	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	Please do not re route the eastbound aircrafts over 101 freeway. Our homes get enough air noise already.	9/7/2021	09/04/21 6:25 AM
Ryan	Bond	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	Love your proposed changes to VNY departure procedures that will adjust flight paths closer to the 101 and move them away from hillside communities. Proceed as fast as you can!!!!	9/7/2021	09/04/21 11:36 AM
Elizabeth	Marquart	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	Please do not rerout ALL air traffic over our homes along the 101. Air traffic needs to be EVENLY distributed, and just because a group of homeowners S. of Ventura has hired an attorney to fight for them does not mean we should take the brunt. The air traffic has already gotten so much worse in recent years, so this needs to be spread out.	9/7/2021	09/04/21 2:21 PM

Fern	Wallach	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	Highly object to the rerouting of planes heading east over the 101 freeway. Planes need to cover the entire valley.	9/7/2021	09/04/21 3:36 PM
Frances	Lippman	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	Please stop the planes over our community of Sherman Oaks The amount of noise is a terrible intrusion there must be another way and another route. For some reason the people who live in the Valley near the Van Nuys Airport are treated like we just don't matter and we deserve all the consideration and better planning. We are not those people in the "VALLEY" we are tax paying responsible home owners fed up with the utter disregard and disrespect always displayed. We don't want to listen to those massive planes with those loud engines at all hours of the day and night. Thank You Frances Lippman, Sherman Oaks	9/7/2021	09/04/21 3:51 PM
Pamela	Jahrmarkt	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	I am greatly opposed to re-routing air traffic from Van Nuys airport over the 101. We currently suffer constant noise and pollution in this neighborhood because of Sherman Oaks hospital which receives helicopters and the junction of the 405/101. The noise is untenable.	9/7/2021	09/04/21 3:59 PM
Kelcey	Parker	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	Redirecting air traffic over Sherman Oaks would increase pollution and noise in our community. Sherman Oaks is a neighborhood of families with lots of young children who are especially vulnerable to air quality and noise pollution.	9/7/2021	09/04/21 5:13 PM
Karen	Cowan	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	Flight paths need to be evenly distributed throughout the entire Valley. Including South of the Boulevard	9/7/2021	09/04/21 6:32 PM
Jennifer	Rodighiero	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	The flight path needs to remain evenly distributed, not all directed north of 101. My small children, and all the children in this area will be inundated with pollution that will cause life long health issues. Please, please, consider the children.	9/7/2021	09/04/21 7:09 PM
Stephanie	Muckenthaler	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I highly object to this re-routing. Flight paths should be evenly distributed throughout including south of the Blvd. as they currently are now. We already have our share of aircraft noise and pollution. I cannot imagine how much worse it could possibly be and with a newborn I would hate to have increased noise as some aircrafts are already so loud and shake the windows/house at all hours of the day and night.	9/7/2021	09/04/21 8:21 PM
RENAUD	WEST	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I highly object to this re-routing. Flight paths should be evenly distributed throughout including south of the Blvd. as they currently are now. We already have our share of aircraft noise and pollution	9/7/2021	09/04/21 11:09 PM
ANDREA	ARGY	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	highly object to this re-routing. Flight paths should be evenly distributed throughout including south of the Blvd. as they currently are now. We already have our share of aircraft noise and pollution	9/7/2021	09/04/21 11:09 PM
Kiki	Einziger	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	Highly object to the flight plan re-route. The flight path over our homes is already very congested and noisy.	9/7/2021	09/05/21 8:33 AM
Mary	Martino	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	I have lived at 14611 Morrison Street for 25 years. I'm north of the 101 and just east of the 405. I love my peaceful neighborhood!! I do not want the rerouting of aircraft from Van Nuys and Burbank airport! Please do not make the change!!	9/7/2021	09/05/21 8:42 AM
Marilyn	Harris	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	Please don't reroute aircraft to the north of the 101 freeway as aircraft noise pollution is already significant in my area from Van Nuys Airport, Burbank Airport and police and news helicopter activity. We need to share this necessary nuisance with all neighborhoods including south of Ventura Blvd. Thank you.	9/7/2021	09/05/21 9:17 AM
Ryan	Muckenthaler	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	Noise pollution is already high in this neighborhood. Flight paths should be evenly spread throughout Sherman Oaks - and the south of a Ventura blvd neighborhood should not be an exception.	9/7/2021	09/05/21 12:19 PM
Nidhi	Jagani	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	Flight paths should be evenly distributed throughout including south of the Blvd. as they currently are now. As a resident who owns home just north of Ventura blvd. we are greatly concerned about proposed change in flight path.	9/7/2021	09/05/21 1:36 PM

Marie	V	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	Thank you for taking the time to discuss this very important matter. It is imperative that the current flight paths be reversed to their routes prior to NextGen. Many thousands of residents have complained throughout these last 4 years not only to the FAA and the CAC, but also to the BOAC, current and past Councilpersons, Senators, Mayor Garcetti, Governor Newsome and the President. As you all are aware of, lawsuits are also pending. This is a waste time and resources, and should have not been necessary. If the flight paths are not reversed to their historical routes of many, many decades, what is to keep airports from changing their flight paths from one part of the community to another without warning? There are protections in place that we residents have, and they must be abided by. We are NOT asking that the current paths be placed upon ANOTHER part of the community as someone who currently holds a seat on the CAC untruthfully is saying. We are asking for the flight paths to be reversed to their historical paths.	9/7/2021	09/05/21 1:42 PM
Amanda	Calof	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	I am against the redirection of planes that would fly right over our North Sherman Oaks neighborhood. It not only means there would be increased noise, but also air pollution from emissions from the planes. We re dealing with more than our fair share of noise and air pollution from living so close to the freeways. Please do not direct air traffic! Thank you.	9/7/2021	09/05/21 9:46 PM
Leslie	Koifman	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	Please distribute the noise fairly in our neighborhoods. The constant sound of airplanes is truly damaging to peace and quiet and we have a tremendous noise burden from the 101 freeway already. It isn't right to create only one pathway. Please consider how many of us work from home now and how much damage the additional noise creates.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 5:26 AM
Carin	Gronhagen	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	DO NOT change the flight pattern of aircraft flying from Van Nuys airport, IF it is going to cause more air traffic again over Sherman Oaks! The proposal sayd to "return the flight paths to 'historical' ones", but cleverly does not specify WHERE that means. We have suffered for years with jets flying directly overhead in the neighborhods just east of the Supulveda Basin and South of Burbank Blvd. - IF these "historical" paths mean keeping aircraft flying over our neighborhood, then NO- DO NOT REINSTATE HISTORIC FLIGHT PATHS! VAN NUYS Airfield was built for crop dusters when the Valley was mainly agricultural land; it was NOT built as an airport for passenger planes, and certainly NOT for private jets. The area around the original airport is HEAVILY populated and the noise and pollution from private jets is unreasonable. It might be tolerable if the regulations were abided by, but jets take off at all hours of the night, probably because someone is greasing a palm at the field. The San Fernando Valley is not large enough to accommodate two airports for passenger planes- millionaires and corporations should have to use Burbank Airport for their transportation needs. IF Van Nuys Airport should be left open at all should be the question. IF SO, then it should be designated for use by military and emergency aircraft ONLY!	9/7/2021	09/06/21 11:33 AM
Judith	Forman	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	For too long, wealthy communities have had their way in Los Angeles. They must bear their fair share of airplane noise! PLEASE do NOT reroute eastbound air traffic over the 101 and north. These neighborhoods also have small children and pets and older people who are seriously disturbed by constant noise from planes and helicopters. Flight paths should be evenly distributed throughout, including south of Ventura Blvd., as they currently are now. The rest of us already have our share of aircraft noise and pollution.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 12:19 PM
ken	pisani	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	The re-routing of eastbound aircraft over the 101 will add to already intolerable noise pollution south of the blvd. I strongly urge a more equitable distribution of flight patterns that will perhaps adversely affect all of us but fairly and with less frequency.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 12:23 PM
joe	martino	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	Flight paths should be evenly distributed throughout including south of the Blvd. as they currently are now. We already have our share of aircraft noise and pollution. Please do not just have traffic run north of Ventura Blvd.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 12:26 PM
Kathleen	Crow	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	My husband and I have lived by the Sherman Oaks Fashion Square for 35 years. We HIGHLY OBJECT to this proposal to reroute the flight paths to over the 101 freeway. Flight paths should be safely distributed evenly amongst all possible neighborhoods. Wealthy neighborhoods should be included in noise sharing. Please don't be influenced by south of Ventura Blvd money and power. Thank you	9/7/2021	09/06/21 12:49 PM

Karen	Gould	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	I am the Neighborhood Watch Team Captain for my area and am also a Police Community Representative. My understanding is that there is a proposal to redirect the flight path to my neighborhood. I live on the 14000 block of Morrison Street (near Van Nuys Blvd). I live very close to the 101 freeway and not far from the 405 freeway. I strongly believe that the flight path should not be changed, because the planes should be evenly distributed throughout the area (including south of the Blvd) as they currently are now. My neighborhood has its fair share of noise and air pollution from the freeways, helicopters and planes that already fly overhead. Our children are exposed to this noise and toxic air pollution. Please do not make it worse by redirecting the flight path to my area. I have a daughter and there are many other children and babies in this area. Thank you for considering my request.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 1:12 PM
Baz	Hendrix	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	We are homeowners living in the Encino flatlands (Woodley Ave., & Morrison St, between the 101 freeway and Ventura Blvd), roughly 5 miles from KVNy, and we are very upset with the many dozens of low-flying jets that absolutely pound our neighborhood, day in and day out. The noise is constant, and it has got to stop! We urge you to support the FAA proposal to revert departures to their historical flight patterns, and also that you ask the FAA to expedite these changes. We really cannot wait 18 to 24 months! It is a living nightmare! Thank you!	9/7/2021	09/06/21 1:22 PM
Christie	Enholm	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I support: *Reverting VNY flight paths to as close as possible to their historical turning points *Prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type *Instituting mandatory night curfews *Phasing-out Stage 3 jets	9/7/2021	09/06/21 2:04 PM
Stephanie	Lewis	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	With all of the current noise and air-pollution that we currently deal with by the 101 in Sherman Oaks, I am adamantly opposed to directing all of the eastbound air traffic to this area. Flight paths should be evenly distributed throughout including south of the Blvd. as they currently are now. We already have our share of aircraft noise and pollution. Please do not vote to add to it for the sake of our health.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 2:34 PM
Robert	Bakkemo	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I highly objection to the sole re-routing of air traffic exclusively over the area North of Ventura Blvd. in Sherman Oaks. It is very unequitable for the Quiet Skies group that represents only residence south of Ventura Blvd to advocate for their skies to be free of daily take-offs and landings while ordering that other neighbors “just put up” with a doubling in their air traffic noise. All of us suffer from excessive aircraft noise pollution. No single group of neighbors should be allowed to determine that their streets and theirs’ alone should be free of this burden. I speak for many residence that you may not hear from due to their age, lack of internet sophistication, or the timing of this meeting immediately following a holiday weekend. My neighbors have been told for years about regulations that would be imposed upon Van Nuys Airport to help relieve airport noise and yet the noise has only increased year to year. I sympathize with all community members who are subjected to this problem. Please do not let this be another unequitable decision, a decision that will thrust all of the noisy skies upon one neighborhood. The boorish behavior of the Quiet Sky group advocating only for their portion of village town of Sherman Oaks to remain “quiet” is an appalling example of the not-in-my-backyard mentality that has over taken once cooperative community action. Quiet Skies should learn some manners. I vehemently oppose accepting any additional air traffic over the neighborhood North of Ventura Blvd Sherman Oaks which continues to deal with gratuitous amounts of noise already.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 3:03 PM

Chloe	Burnett	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	I live in Sherman Oaks. My home has been under assault since about 2017 now. There are tens if not hundreds of thousands of us effected by this nightmare brought on by the FAA and Nextgen. I am imploring you all to help us get the jet routes back to their historic paths. We have suffered enough. Let the freeway take the brunt of this air assault, not homes and our protected public lands. Thank you so much.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 3:28 PM
Robert	Bakkemo	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I highly objection to the sole re-routing of air traffic exclusively over the area North of Ventura Blvd. in Sherman Oaks. It is very inequitable for the Quiet Skies group that represents only residence south of Ventura Blvd to advocate for their skies to be free of daily take-offs and landings while ordering that other neighbors "just put up" with a doubling in their air traffic noise.</p> <p>All of us suffer from excessive aircraft noise pollution. No single group of neighbors should be allowed to determine that their streets and theirs' alone should be free of this burden. I speak for many residence that you may not hear from due to their age, lack of internet sophistication, or the timing of this meeting immediately following a holiday weekend. My neighbors have been told for years about regulations that would be imposed upon Van Nuys Airport to help relieve airport noise and yet the noise has only increased year to year. I sympathize with all community members who are subjected to this problem.</p> <p>Please do not let this be another inequitable decision, a decision that will thrust all of the noisy skies upon one neighborhood. The boorish behavior of the Quiet Sky group advocating only for their portion of village town of Sherman Oaks to remain "quiet" is an appalling example of the not-in-my-backyard mentality that has over taken once cooperative community action. Quiet Skies should learn some manners.</p> <p>I vehemently oppose accepting any additional air traffic over the neighborhood North of Ventura Blvd Sherman Oaks which continues to deal with gratuitous amounts of noise already</p>	9/7/2021	09/06/21 3:36 PM
Alydia	Jardine	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I am vehemently opposed to re-routing any departure traffic from South of Ventura Blvd to the North of Ventura Blvd. in Sherman Oaks as the Quiet Skies group seems to want to accomplish. How can one part of the same community advocate for the polluting of its own kind?</p> <p>We all suffer from jet fuel and noise pollution and we have for decades. The promise to reduce the noise, number and frequency of departures has never materialized. Our community has had to accept the ever increasing semi commercialization of Van Nuys Airport since the 1980's. And with Santa Monica apparently soon to be decommissioned all those jets and prop planes are sure to find themselves repositioned at Van Nuys and Burbank Airports only increasing the number of take-offs and departures in the San Fernando Valley and hence out community. And what are the residents of Sherman Oaks north of Ventura Boulevard supposed to do? Just accept a doubling of our air traffic because another selfish section of the same village doesn't want to share in the future burden? How unfair.</p> <p>This problem is an accumulation of years of Sherman Oaks homeowners being assured than changes are coming that have never really materialized. Surely, there are equitable solutions here. The FAA shouldn't make a decision that will have one part of a community suffer more than another. No one neighborhood should be forced to endure the massive noise increases that are yet to come, the additional pollution or constant background rumbling of every sort of aircraft.</p> <p>Keep the Skies Quiet and Equitable!!! The community can stand behind that.</p> <p>Again, I vehemently oppose the re-directing of Van Nuys Airport 405 departure traffic to solely North of Ventura Blvd.</p> <p>KEEP THE SKIES QUIET AND EQUITABLE. All say AYE.</p>	9/7/2021	09/06/21 4:29 PM

Ovnan	Arabyan	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I've been a resident of this neighborhood for over 10 years and I highly object to the re-routing of all flights along the 101 fwy. Flight paths should be evenly distributed throughout including south of the Blvd. as they currently are now. We already have our share of aircraft noise and pollution.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 5:23 PM
Cristina	Pucelli	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	I am a resident of Sherman Oaks. I am writing to let you know that I am opposed to the re-routing of east bound flights over the 101. The flight paths need to be evenly distributed over neighborhoods and kept as they are. Just because people live in more expensive neighborhoods doesn't mean they can dictate the flight paths to avoid theirs. With SM airport closing and Burbank expanding it is important to spread out the paths. Noise and air pollution affects us all but to try to condense it over" poorer" neighborhoods is really pretty gross. Thank you for listening.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 6:05 PM
Tapia	Martinez-Russ	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	I object to the current plan to redirect the flight path over Ernie's Walk neighborhoods, which should be evenly distributed throughout our area (including south of the Blvd). We already have our fair share of noise from the freeways, helicopters and planes, and don't need the added air pollution pouring down on our schools and parks.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 6:07 PM
James	Ciancaglini	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	I vehemently object to the current FAA plan to redirect flight paths over Ernie's Walk neighborhoods along the 101 FWY. They should be evenly distributed throughout The Valley,, including south of the Blvd) We have enough noise and air pollution from the freeways and planes that already fly overhead, Our children do not deserve the resulting air pollution descending on their schools & parks this plan would cause.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 6:37 PM
Paul	Hatfield	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	Under no circumstances should the flight paths for VNY be shifted north of the 101. The residents in this area were left out of the noise task force meetings by elected officials who were more interested in their wealthier constituents to the south. The neighborhoods south of the 101 should share in the noise. Do not cave in to the selfish interests of Quiet Skies and other groups who believe they have more rights than others. Perhaps the ACLU might be interested in learning how the folks north of the freeway are being steam rolled.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 6:52 PM
Beth	Fulton	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I hope that flight paths will not be re-routed to over the 101. There is SO much environmental noise for those of us who live near the freeway - why add more? Additionally, flight paths should be shared among ALL communities. We can not re-route to accommodate affluent communities who just don't want to deal with city noise.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 6:55 PM
Barrett	Heins	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I urge the CAC to fully support the FAA proposal to return VNY departures to their historic turning points. The Encino flats have been bombarded by low-flying jets for the last several years, and we are angry and fed up. When we bought our Woodley Avenue home 23 years ago we never gave the Van Nuys airport a second thought. It was wrong for the FAA to change the flight patterns without informing stakeholders like us, and correcting their grievous error is now the right thing to do. Would you please ask them to expedite the process? I don't know how we continue living with the constant noise for 18-24 months. It is truly torturous. Thank you.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 7:13 PM
Michael	Gould	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	I live on the 14,000 block of Morrison Street and we already have a great deal of air traffic noise at our home and do not want this to increase any further with the proposed change to the flight paths at VN Airport. Thank you for your consideration, Michael Gould	9/7/2021	09/06/21 7:29 PM
Bruce	Ravid	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	Thanks for your meeting a few weeks ago which was very hopeful for us. The turns over the Basin, faster climb rates and no-height ceilings will get the jets up and out of the valley faster, which will make this return to prior routing a success for all impacted communities. We appreciate the four years of city backing to fix this. Please don't make us wait any longer.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 8:16 PM
Dorothy	Apple	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I feel that the proposed flight path is not fair for all communities in the San Fernando Valley. It appears that the proposed flight path would direct all east bound traffic over the same neighborhood. The flight paths should be shared evenly over all our neighborhoods. That is the only fair way to handle this issue. thank you, Dorothy	9/7/2021	09/06/21 9:03 PM

Beth	Rubin	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I strongly object to this proposed change as the flight path should be equally distributed within both North and South air space. Moving to just one location is ridiculous and will increase the noise and air pollution in one area drastically. We all pay property taxes and one location should not be exempt just because they formed a group with a catchy name and have a neighbor on the city council.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 9:09 PM
Pamela	Moeck	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	We cannot have planes rerouted over our house in Valley Village.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 9:54 PM
Stephanie	Potter-Lewis	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	I am asking that the flight paths are not redirected over my neighborhood as currently proposed. I already am subjected to enough noise and air pollution since I live in Sherman Oaks right by the 101 and 405. I strongly oppose directly all east bound flight traffic over this area. Thank you for your consideration.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 9:54 PM
Toni	Roeder	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	My understanding is that there is a proposal to redirect the flight path to my neighborhood. I live on the 14000 block of Morrison Street (near Van Nuys Blvd). I live very close to the 101 freeway and not far from the 405 freeway. I strongly believe that the flight path should not be changed, because the planes should be evenly distributed throughout the area (including south of the Blvd) as they currently are now. My neighborhood has its fair share of noise and air pollution from the freeways, helicopters and planes that already fly overhead. Our children are exposed to this noise and toxic air pollution. Please do not make it worse by redirecting the flight path to our neighborhood. Thank you for considering my request.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 10:01 PM
Derek	Roeder	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	My understanding is that there is a proposal to redirect the flight path to my neighborhood. I live on the 14000 block of Morrison Street (near Van Nuys Blvd). I live very close to the 101 freeway and not far from the 405 freeway. I strongly believe that the flight path should not be changed, because the planes should be evenly distributed throughout the area (including south of the Blvd) as they currently are now. My neighborhood has its fair share of noise and air pollution from the freeways, helicopters and planes that already fly overhead. Our children are exposed to this noise and toxic air pollution. Please do not make it worse by redirecting the flight path to our neighborhood. Thank you for considering my request.	9/7/2021	09/06/21 10:02 PM
Meredith	Rockenstein	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	Hello, I would like to state my opposition to the potential change in flight paths. I believe that the flight paths should stay the same and be evenly distributed. In the Ernie's walk neighborhood, we are already inundated with pollution and noise from the freeways. Please do not allow more pollution and noise into our community. Thank you! Meredith	9/7/2021	09/06/21 10:32 PM

Penny	Alpert	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>1. I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>2. I support making sure VNY Master Plan is up-to-date, and that the airport is in compliance with it, and with AOPA Guidelines.</p> <p>3. I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>4. I support instituting mandatory night curfews (Voluntary night curfews are not working).</p> <p>5. I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>Please also consider the following:</p> <p>1.Establish Local Community Governance – No more Federal Funding as Demonstrated by Santa Monica</p> <p>2.Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today)</p> <p>3.Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option)</p> <p>4.Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program</p> <p>5.Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators</p> <p>6.Establish a sound measurement program across the SF Valley</p> <p>7.Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley</p> <p>Appreciatively, Penny Alpert</p>	9/7/2021	09/06/21 10:41 PM
Glen	Alpert	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>1. I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>2. I support making sure VNY Master Plan is up-to-date, and that the airport is in compliance with it, and with AOPA Guidelines.</p> <p>3. I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>4. I support instituting mandatory night curfews (Voluntary night curfews are not working).</p> <p>5. I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>Please also consider the following:</p> <p>1.Establish Local Community Governance – No more Federal Funding as Demonstrated by Santa Monica</p> <p>2.Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today)</p> <p>3.Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option)</p> <p>4.Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program</p> <p>5.Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators</p> <p>6.Establish a sound measurement program across the SF Valley</p> <p>7.Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley</p>	9/7/2021	09/06/21 10:42 PM

June	Canter	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>1. I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>2. I support making sure VNY Master Plan is up-to-date, and that the airport is in compliance with it, and with AOPA Guidelines.</p> <p>3. I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>4. I support instituting mandatory night curfews (Voluntary night curfews are not working).</p> <p>5. I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>Please also consider the following:</p> <p>1.Establish Local Community Governance – No more Federal Funding as Demonstrated by Santa Monica</p> <p>2.Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today)</p> <p>3.Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option)</p> <p>4.Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program</p> <p>5.Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators</p> <p>6.Establish a sound measurement program across the SF Valley</p> <p>7.Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley</p> <p>Thank you!</p>	9/7/2021	09/06/21 10:43 PM
Paul	Canter	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>1. I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>2. I support making sure VNY Master Plan is up-to-date, and that the airport is in compliance with it, and with AOPA Guidelines.</p> <p>3. I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>4. I support instituting mandatory night curfews (Voluntary night curfews are not working).</p> <p>5. I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>Please also consider the following:</p> <p>1.Establish Local Community Governance – No more Federal Funding as Demonstrated by Santa Monica</p> <p>2.Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today)</p> <p>3.Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option)</p> <p>4.Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program</p> <p>5.Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators</p> <p>6.Establish a sound measurement program across the SF Valley</p> <p>7.Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley</p> <p>Thank you for trying to be a good neighbor.</p>	9/7/2021	09/06/21 10:43 PM
Michele	Mulidor	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	<p>I've read that there is a plan to reroute planes further north, so that the folks south of Ventura Blvd have less noise. Things are already loud here in Van Nuys, and the south of the boulevard people need to accept their fair share of the noise and pollution. The routes are evenly divided now. Our poorer community should not suffer so our richer neighbors can enjoy more peace than we are currently afforded. They don't get the traffic helicopters that we do, either. Please, be fair.</p>	9/7/2021	09/06/21 11:12 PM

Sandy	Hubbard	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I've heard a lot these past 2 years about the complaints that the Studio City Quiet Skies group have been making because a minor amount of air traffic was routed near their homes—complaints that occurred even during COVID when no planes were in flight, as per conversations seen on NextDoor.</p> <p>While it's been documented that the number of noise complaints has gone up exponentially since flight paths were adjusted, it seems pertinent to request that you look at how many unique households were making those complaints, and to analyze if the complaints increased after the public was given an easier tool to use to make said complaints. We heard one person state at a hearing that she had this phone number on auto-dial and made hundreds of calls herself ... because she could.</p> <p>Valley Village and neighboring communities have borne the brunt of this noise for years, and we believe it's only fair that Studio City carries their Fair Share. Please do not make the communities along the 101/405 carry the entire impact of BUR and VNY air traffic.</p> <p>If you make the decision to saddle the communities in the aforementioned area with all of the noise again, then at least do the honorable thing and soundproof all of our affected houses and shield the children in OUR schools from potential health hazards.</p> <p>Respectfully, Sandy Hubbard</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 12:32 AM
Anthony	Menke	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>As a resident in the area that will be directly affected by the increased noise pollution from the proposed rerouting of these aircraft, I STRONGLY OPPOSE this proposition. This will make all neighborhoods in this affected area less desirable and impact home values and deter investment in local business. This will have a strong negative impact on my community!</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:00 AM
L.C.	Brooks	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>I oppose re-routing aircraft to over the 101. Those of us living in the NE and NW pockets of the 405 and 101 have 24/ aircraft noise from helicopters, single props as it is, not to mention that jets are already dispersed at maximum levels.</p> <p>Since the FAA refuses to set limits of the number of flights and impose a curfew as both VNY and BUR expand and grow, everyone must adjust, even those living south of Ventura Blvd. in a community considerably less populated.</p> <p>More importantly, our elected officials and the FAA have not reached out to our communities. General meetings were always out of control and controlled by the Quiet Skies groups. It was intolerable; a circus of screaming and ranting.</p> <p>Webinars were slanted towards those groups as well. Residents living near the 101 were not heard. It is observed that a handful of out of control south residents clicking away 24/7 to record flights are calling the shots.</p> <p>In the beginning, we were assured by our elected officials not to worry. There will be no favoritism. We took them at their word. What happened?</p> <p>Please note: Your comment for allows repeated submits. I hope you will look at the IPs and disregard duplicates.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:08 AM
Julia	Bricklin	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working).</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:32 AM
SUELLEN	WAGNER	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>Oppose! Will hurt Studio City residents. FAA must do better! No ultimatums!</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:40 AM

Joanne	Lichtman	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>Please tell the FAA to move forward by working on the details of the proposed VNY Southern Departures RNAV fix. The faster climb rate and no-height ceiling will get the jets up and out of the valley faster, and make this "fix" a success for all impacted communities. It's been four years of city backing to fix this already. Please don't make us wait any longer.</p> <p>Thank you for your continued work on this issue, and for representing me, my family and my neighborhood by voicing this message to the FAA, on our behalf.</p> <p>Honestly, we cannot use our homes, and it is really a terrible nuisance and difficulty in our lives.</p> <p>Joanne Lichtman 15135 Sutton Street Sherman Oaks, CA 91403</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:42 AM
Douglas	Evans	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>Please tell the FAA to move forward by working on the details of the proposed VNY Southern Departures RNAV fix. The faster climb rate and no-height ceiling will get the jets up and out of the valley faster, and make this "fix" a success for all impacted communities. It's been four years of city backing to fix this already. Please don't make us wait any longer.</p> <p>Thank you for your continued work on this issue, and for representing me, my family and my neighborhood by voicing this message to the FAA, on our behalf.</p> <p>Honestly, we cannot use our homes in a safe and peaceful manner and it is really a terrible nuisance and difficulty in our lives.</p> <p>15135 Sutton Street, Sherman Oaks</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:43 AM
Jo	Pessin	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:44 AM
Jo	Pessin	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	<p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working).</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:45 AM

Annie	Fitzgerald	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I am a resident of West Sherman Oaks area, south of Ventura Blvd and our lives have been up-ended and highly disrupted by the new VNY flight paths.</p> <p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working).</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>Please consider:</p> <p>Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY. Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today)</p> <p>Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option)</p> <p>Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program</p> <p>Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators</p> <p>Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley</p> <p>Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley</p> <p>Thank you A. Fitzgerald West Sherman Oaks</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:47 AM
Jeremy	Lake	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>- I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>- I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews are not working).</p> <p>- I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>Signed, Jeremy Lake Sherman Oaks 91423</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:48 AM
David	King Lassman	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>Sherman Oaks has been under assault from the planes leaving and landing at VNY and BUR. Environmental studies are showing concerning pollution affecting our health. We urge you to consider an immediate return back to historic flight paths to protect the residents of our community. This should be handled with the utmost urgency.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:49 AM
Matthew	Antoun	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working).</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:49 AM
Penny	Alpert	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	<p>Oh shoot! Forgive me, forgot to ask in my last comment, the most important question of all:</p> <p>What is LAWA's vision and growth plan for VNY moving forward? i.e. Do you have plans to limit (at some point) the number of aircraft flying in and out of VNY? And, if so, what is that limit?</p> <p>Thanks for letting me add this comment on, and for all the hard work towards being a good neighbor moving forward. So grateful!! ♡</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:49 AM

Moon	Kerson	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working).</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY.</p> <p>Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today)</p> <p>Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option)</p> <p>Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program</p> <p>Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators</p> <p>Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley</p> <p>Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:50 AM
Lisa	Petrus	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>Please do not return to the old flight paths. We are currently at maximum dispersal and the new proposed flight tracks are much narrower and concentrated which means that the same communities will have to bear the burden.</p> <p>The people complaining (fewer than 400 out of 250,000 citizens) are well organized and do not want to share the noise. The number of flights has increased therefore the area in which they depart should also increase not decrease.</p> <p>The Sherman Oaks Neighborhood Council has taken the position that the noise be fair and equitable for the entirety of Sherman Oaks.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:52 AM
Jeremy	Lake	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	<p>As a long time tax paying resident in the Sherman Oaks hills, who is bombarded by low flying aircraft every minute of the day, I want to see the following actions taken in regards to Van Nuys airport:</p> <p>Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today) - Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option) - Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program - Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators - Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley - Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley <p>Thank you, Jeremy Lake Sherman Oaks 91423</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:52 AM
Lindsay	Nesmith	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews are not working).</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:55 AM

Mark	Friedman	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working).</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:57 AM
Sherry	Friedman	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY.</p> <p>Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today)</p> <p>Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option)</p> <p>Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program</p> <p>Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators</p> <p>Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley</p> <p>Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:58 AM
Robert	Schwartz	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I'd like to express my support of the FAA's redesign proposal for the VNY airport. And I specifically support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points. And lastly, I support instituting mandatory night curfews because voluntary night curfews are presently not working. This should also include phasing-out of Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>Thank you, Robert Schwartz</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:02 AM
Alan	Levy	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I think the FAA should return to the previous VNY departure routes. Returning the noise profile to before, when more of the noise was over sparsely populated Sepulveda Basin makes sense. I am a fan of aviation but I am tired of explaining to friends on the phone, what that huge noise in the background is.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:06 AM
DONNA	MATERNA	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working).</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>I also support:</p> <p>Establishing a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley</p> <p>Conducting periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:12 AM
John	Parker	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>Please maintain the current flight path for VNY. This current flight path impacts the least amount of people who are already effected by freeway noise and helicopter traffic.</p> <p>Thanks,</p> <p>John Parker 14641 Huston St Sherman Oaks, 91403</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:12 AM

Kathy	McGrath	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>Along with tens of thousands of others, I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points;</p> <p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type;</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews as the voluntary night curfews re not working;</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>In addition, regarding the Master Plan for Van Nuys Airport, I request the committee to consider these ideas:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Establish Local Community Governance – Follow the SMO example and refuse federal funding so what happens at VNY remains under local control; 2) Re-institute Landing Fees- LAX currently uses these; 3) Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously as LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option; 4) Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program; 5) Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators; 6) Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley; 7) Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley. <p>Thank you.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:15 AM
Amy	Clayton	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>When we moved to Sherman Oaks, we did so in part to her away from our old home under a flight path- only to buy a house and have the flight change only months after we moved here. It affects our sleep, our work (as I work from home) and any entertaining we do) we see (not only hear) a plane every 2 minutes over our house. It is a joke! For any of you wishing to propose this plan I would like to have you as my guest for a week and you can see how it feels.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:17 AM

Michael	Kramer	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>I'm writing to state that I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points;</p> <p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type;</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews as the voluntary night curfews re not working;</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>In addition, regarding the Master Plan for Van Nuys Airport, I request the committee to consider these ideas:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Establish Local Community Governance – Follow the SMO example and refuse federal funding so what happens at VNY remains under local control;2) Re-institute Landing Fees- LAX currently uses these;3) Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously as LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option;4) Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program;5) Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators;6) Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley;7) Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley. <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:17 AM
---------	--------	----------------------------	---	----------	------------------

Eileen	Valles	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>My family has been assaulted by the jet noise at VNY and BUR. Thus, I support :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">-the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points;-I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type;-I support instituting mandatory night curfews as the voluntary night curfews re not working;-I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets. <p>In addition, regarding the Master Plan for Van Nuys Airport, please incorporate these suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Establish Local Community Governance – Follow the SMO example and refuse federal funding so what happens at VNY remains under local control;2) Re-institute Landing Fees- LAX currently uses these;3) Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously as LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option;4) Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program;5) Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators;6) Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley;7) Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley. <p>Please help to restore sanity and some measure of peace to our lives. Thank you.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:19 AM
--------	--------	----------------------------	--	----------	------------------

Frances	McGrath	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>Please! Help put a stop to this once and for all for many thousands of people who are suffering. I support the following:</p> <p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points;</p> <p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type;</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews as the voluntary night curfews re not working;</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>Regarding the Master Plan for Van Nuys Airport, I support the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Establish Local Community Governance – Follow the SMO example and refuse federal funding so what happens at VNY remains under local control; 2) Re-institute Landing Fees- LAX currently uses these; 3) Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously as LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option; 4) Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program; 5) Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators; 6) Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley; 7) Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley. <p>Thank you.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:21 AM
Janice	Roper	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>I support the FAA's redesign proposal of VNY's southern departures. I believe all scheduled passenger services should be prohibited. I support a mandatory curfew at night, not a voluntary one for all non-emergency aircraft. I support eliminating Stage-3 jets. VNY should refuse federal funding and establish a local community governance. Landing fees should be re-instituted. Re-close the customs office. This should happen at LAX. Publish a monthly report of all violators. Establish a noise monitoring program and conduct air quality studies Across the SF Valley. Thank you.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:25 AM
Jodi	Caden	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points. •I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. •I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working). •I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY. 2. Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today) 3. Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option) 4. Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program 5. Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators 6. Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley 7. Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley 	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:27 AM

Lori	Caden	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY. 2.Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today) 3.Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option) 4.Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program 5.Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators 6.Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley 7.Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points. •I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. •I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working). •I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets. 	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:27 AM
Kari	Caden	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points. •I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. •I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working). •I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY. 2.Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today) 3.Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option) 4.Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program 5.Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators 6.Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley 7.Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley 	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:28 AM
Warren	Friedman	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY. 2.Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today) 3.Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option) 4.Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program 5.Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators 6.Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley 7.Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points. •I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. •I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working). •I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets. 	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:29 AM

Ron	Caden	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points. •I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. •I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working). •I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY. 2. Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today) 3. Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option) 4. Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program 5. Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators 6. Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley 7. Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley 	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:29 AM
Judith	Caden	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY. 2. Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today) 3. Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option) 4. Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program 5. Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators 6. Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley 7. Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points. •I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. •I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working). •I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets. 	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:30 AM
Rick	Hill	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points before or at the 101 freeway (which is itself a natural noise corridor).</p> <p>In addition, I ask you to please establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley and to conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:31 AM
Christiane	Cuse	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I support the FAA proposal. Please return to historical turning points. The amount of noise and pollution is out of control. It is really insane, the number of noisy jets flying overhead all day. Current operating procedures are NOT fair and not tenable. I have lived in my current house for 27 years, and it is not right that now, this is the situation which my neighbors and I had no input regarding. Please go back to the previous operating procedure.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:39 AM
Matt	Dessner	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	<p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:40 AM
SAVE OUR SKIES	LA	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>We support the FAA's conceptual proposal to restore -- using RNAV technology --conventional departure flight patterns for jets and planes departing VNY. We understand that the proposal includes a much higher 600 ft per NM altitude climb than previous flight procedures and also is anticipated to create maximum dispersion possible.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:47 AM

Edward	Lifson	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working).</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>I support:</p> <p>Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY.</p> <p>Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today)</p> <p>Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option)</p> <p>Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program</p> <p>Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators</p> <p>Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley</p> <p>Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley</p> <p>THE CONSTANT LOUD, LOW-FLYING, TOXIC-SPEWING PLANES ARE KILLING US, OUR CHILDREN, AND OUR NEIGHBORS! THIS MUST BE CHANGED QUICKLY! WE HAVE LIVED IN OUR HOUSE FOR DECADES, IT WAS NEVER A PROBLEM UNTIL RECENTLY. PUT THINGS BACK THE WAY THEY WERE!</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:47 AM
SAVE OUR SKIES	LA	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	<p>WE ALSO SUPPORT: 1. Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY.</p> <p>2. Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today)</p> <p>3. Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option)</p> <p>4. Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program</p> <p>5. Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators</p> <p>6. Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley</p> <p>7. Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:50 AM
Dana	Hutt	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working).</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>I also support:</p> <p>Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY.</p> <p>Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today)</p> <p>Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option)</p> <p>Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program</p> <p>Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators</p> <p>Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley</p> <p>Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley</p> <p>THE CONSTANT LOUD, LOW-FLYING, TOXIC-SPEWING PLANES ARE KILLING US, OUR CHILDREN, AND OUR NEIGHBORS! THIS MUST BE CHANGED QUICKLY! WE HAVE LIVED IN OUR HOUSE FOR DECADES, IT WAS NEVER A PROBLEM UNTIL RECENTLY. PUT THINGS BACK THE WAY THEY WERE!</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 9:53 AM

Robin	Munushian	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points. I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working). I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets. I support limiting the number of aircraft flying into and out of VNY, including helicopters, flying schools, personal aviation, jets, etc. Please STOP THE GROWTH. This is cruel and inhumane!</p> <p>Please also consider:</p> <p>Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY. Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today) Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option) Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:00 AM
Michele	Florman	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I support the redesign of vny departures reverting to historical turning points the 110 degree turn needs to go. Flights need to return to dispersion multiple tracks a d higher altitudes. Air quality in our victimized neighborhoods have been avoided and not dealt with you are poisoning our lives deliberately as there are consequences to a repeated fixed track continually affecting select areas over And over again. Customs services need to be eliminated as this is affecting noise pollution and volume . Mandatory strict curfews need to be implemented like other airports and financial consequences to violating curfews noise levels and pollution. Hush kits are not installed on jets flying low over residential areas we are seeing/hearing flights 2200 feet above the ground creating havoc. GPS fixed track is not working targets our areas that were never affected before violating noise act. Fix it Flights can ascend rapidly to climb out of the airport mitigation of part of the negative impact.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:05 AM
Michelle	Moadeb	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>My name is Michelle. I am a resident of Encino on Woodley Avenue south of Valley vista. I support the FAA redesign proposal of Van NUYS southern departures reverting paths to their historical turning points I support prohibiting schedule passenger service of any type . I support instituting night curfew. I support phasing out stage 3 jets . Thank you.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:05 AM
Ellen	Wilheim	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>Please do NOT re-route eastbound aircraft over the 101 FWY. North of the 101 there are more homes, more schools, more businesses, etc. It is far more dense than sense so the noise will be impacting far more people. Do not succumb to the pressure of privileged people who have been loud in their demands. All people are equal under the law. Just because people North of the 101 FWY have not been mobilized does not mean that it is okay to throw all the noise their way. I am asking for even distribution of the flight paths. With Santa Monica airport closing, there will be more air traffic out of Van Nuys Airport. Burbank airport is growing. It will not be fair to favor a select few over the many more people north of the freeway. Thank you very much for taking my words under consideration. Ellen Wilheim</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:08 AM
robin	munushian	IV. B. VNY Taxiway A and Runway Construction Update – HNTB	<p>I don't know what the plans are for construction, but VNY growth needs to stop. The current infrastructure can't handle it. The constant, large, low flying aircraft, in combination with helicopters, flying schools etc. is absolutely horrifying. Please represent the citizens and stop this now. This is absolutely cruel! These are flying over our homes. I am unable to sleep and I can't focus on my work. Also, I am not able to enjoy my backyard. This is NOT RIGHT! Please do the RIGHT THING!</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:10 AM

Art and Sarita	Cohen	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>Dear Van Nuys Citizens Advisory Council,</p> <p>We are writing to express our very strong support for the FAA's proposed redesign of Van Nuys southern departures, returning (approximately) to the previous turning points. We also support: 1) the elimination of scheduled passenger service, 2) mandatory night curfews, and 3) the phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>Given the substantial increase in flights over our house, we'd also like to propose that you establish a noise monitoring program across the Valley and conduct periodic air quality studies across the Valley. It's our understanding that the FAA will be doing an environmental study as part of their proposal. We'd like to make sure that this is benchmarked against an environmental study of the current flight paths, since we're not aware that this was ever done.</p> <p>In general, at the meeting tonight, would you also please provide your long term vision for Van Nuys airport with respect to growth?</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration!</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>Art and Sarita Cohen Encino residents for 35 years.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:13 AM
Jill	Weiser	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>Please support the FAA's proposal that tries to undo the harm to residents caused by the creation of the ppry waypoint - which was implemented without any environmental impact studies or community input. This waypoint now sends hundreds of loud, low flying jets over new communities , schools and protected open spaces and has caused noise complaints to skyrocket. I did not purchase a home in a flight path or near an airport. A flight path was moved over my home. The peace and serenity once found in my neighborhood has been destroyed.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:14 AM
Pablo	Barea	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working).</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:23 AM

Brie	Childers	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>Hello,</p> <p>I am a resident and homeowner in Sherman Oaks, north of the 101 freeway.</p> <p>Moving flight paths to turn earlier and push more flights from VNY north of the 101 freeway is not a fair or acceptable solution to the current problems!</p> <p>Pushing air traffic over a more densely populated area (north of the 101) and letting the less populated areas not bear their fair share of the noise is simply not acceptable. Everyone hates the noise and pollution. IT MUST BE SHARED EQUALLY. Maximum dispersion must be maintained and enforced so that no one area is forced to tolerate more than another.</p> <p>Also, nothing was ever presented to constituents north of the 101 at any time during the entire process of public hearings. Those who state otherwise are either misinformed or purposefully spreading misinformation. Just because a relatively small number of residents on the hillside have raised their voices and organized themselves should not mean that they should not bear their fair share of noise and pollution.</p> <p>There are far more people, children, schools, and businesses north of the 101. Why should we bear the weight of more noise and pollution than residents to the south? More residents stand to suffer if the proposed changes are made. Their quality of life is just as important as anyone else's. They are also largely unaware of the consequences of these recommendations because of elected officials' negligence and lack of concern for them.</p> <p>Please DO NOT SUPPORT modifying flight paths so that the residents north of the 101 freeway have to tolerate more noise and pollution!</p> <p>Thank you</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:25 AM
Jaslene	Cortez	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>Hello,</p> <p>I am a resident and homeowner in Sherman Oaks, north of the 101 freeway.</p> <p>Pushing air traffic over a more densely populated area (north of the 101) and letting the less populated areas not bear their fair share of the noise is simply not acceptable. Everyone hates the noise and pollution. IT MUST BE SHARED EQUALLY.</p> <p>Maximum dispersion must be maintained and enforced so that no one area is forced to tolerate more than another.</p> <p>Also, nothing was ever presented to constituents north of the 101 at any time during the entire process of public hearings. A relatively small number of wealthy residents on the hillside have raised their voices and organized themselves, but that should not mean that they don't have to bear their fair share of noise and pollution.</p> <p>It is an indisputable fact that are far more people, children, schools, and businesses north of the 101. More residents stand to suffer if the proposed changes are made then if they are not.</p> <p>Residents north of the 101 are also largely unaware of the consequences of these recommendations because of elected officials' negligence and lack of concern for them. This is a mistake and is blatantly unfair. Their quality of life is just as important as anyone else's.</p> <p>Please DO NOT SUPPORT modifying flight paths so that the residents north of the 101 freeway have to tolerate more noise and pollution!</p> <p>Thank you</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:29 AM
Diane	Weinstein	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	For the safety and well being of our community and the surrounding communities please pass the FAA proposal	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:32 AM

Justin	Dickerson	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>We learned at the FAA's presentation last month that there would be no computer modeling on what the redesigned VNY procedures would look like. The FAA also said at the presentation that they could not give any percentages or estimations on where turns to the north would be completed relative to proposed waypoint WP3.</p> <p>I observed a departure last week on September 1, 2021 that flew what would be the course toward the proposed WP3 waypoint. I was curious to see if it would make the turn at WP3.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the plane continued south past WP3, hitting eastern Sherman Oaks and Studio City before turning to the north. This plane was LOUD. Please note as well that this long loop turn happened with NO OTHER TRAFFIC in the mix.</p> <p>This demonstrates our concerns with the FAA proposal for VNY perfectly -- (1) this "fix" is not a true reversion to the historical path, (2) this "fix" may formalize the VNY departures going to exactly where all the new NextGen Metroplex paths are today (including both VNY and BUR departures, plus BUR and VNY eastern arrivals), and, (3) worst of all, this "fix" could preclude relief from the BUR NextGen departures and eastern arrivals that are already slamming Studio City and eastern Sherman Oaks with constant low/loud plane noise.</p> <p>We cannot rely on vectors. We cannot take the FAA's word that VNY departures will make the northern turn "as soon as possible" after WP3. Time and time again, when there is no other traffic, these planes do a long loop turn over the hills. This was still the case even during COVID in 2020 with significantly reduced air traffic in our "complicated and complex airspace".</p> <p>It's wonderful that the FAA is willing to do a redesign for VNY in response to the task force recommendations. We truly appreciate it. However, we need a firm solution that moves the planes to the true historical path, which is what the task force requested. We cannot accept an attempt to do so that is a "fix" in name only, but actually keeps the planes almost exactly where they are today, and, worse than that, may have other unintended consequences for BUR relief.</p> <p>Please insist upon a true reversion for VNY and BUR from the FAA.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:37 AM
NANCY	SOGOIAN	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I strongly OPPOSE the proposed flight path changes for Van Nuys Airport!</p> <p>MOVING FLIGHT PATHS NORTH (WITH WP3 OVER 101 FREEWAY) PLACES UNDUE ADDITIONAL NOISE AND POLLUTION OVER AN AREA ALREADY NEGATIVELY IMPACTED BY NOISE/POLLUTION FROM 101 FREEWAY!</p> <p>The ONLY fair and acceptable option is for HIGHER, WIDER FLIGHT PATHS in order to DISBURSE NOISE & POLLUTION OVER A WIDER AREA!</p> <p>SIMPLY MOVING PATHS NORTH IS ABSOLUTELY NOT ACCEPTABLE!</p> <p>I do NOT support flight path changes which move paths North. Create a NEW flight departure template that disburses negative impacts, instead of simply moving flight paths and impacts that impact one area to another area!</p> <p>NO flight path changes, unless a new, fair & equitable solution is created!</p> <p>Nancy Sogoian Homeowner, Tax Payer, Voter, Concerned Citizen 14014 Hartsook St., Sherman Oaks, CA 91423</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:45 AM

Suzette	abbott	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>I support the FAA'S proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNNY flight paths to their historical turning points. I also support phasing-out stage 3 jets.</p> <p>Please consider, To establish Local Community Governance- follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY. Re-institute landing Fees (LAX) uses them today. Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley.</p> <p>Thank you</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:46 AM
TED	NICHOLS	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I do NOT support flight path changes from south to north, over the 101 freeway (where residents are ALREADY impacted by noise and pollution from the freeway).</p> <p>The proposed flight path changes are NOT fair or equitable as they do not evenly disburse noise over a wider area, which is the ONLY acceptable solution.</p> <p>NO on flight path changes that take flights over the 101 freeway!</p> <p>Ted Nichols Valleyheart Drive Sherman Oaks CA</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:49 AM
Karen	Carsello	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>Our family does NOT support the push to move flight paths back to the pre-NextGen or "historical" flight paths. SOSLA has mislead those of us living in the west valley. The Petition authored by SOSLA which was circulated to the community via email and Nextdoor is intentionally misleading and failed to provide an actual map and corresponding facts about the new proposed WP1 and WP3. I rescind my signature on that petition and ask that the NextGen pathways remain in effect.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:50 AM
ED	NELSON	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>DO NOT FINALIZE UNFAIR FLIGHT PATH CHANGES THAT SIMPLY MOVE THE NOISE AND POLLUTION FROM RESIDENTS SOUTH OF VENTURA BLVD. TO RESIDENTS NORTH OF VENTURA BLVD.</p> <p>SPECIFICALLY, JUST MOVING PATHS NORTH OVER THE ALREADY-IMPACTED NEIGHBORHOODS ADJACENT TO THE 101 FREEWAY DOES NOT RESOLVE THE PROBLEM; IT ONLY MOVES IT FROM ONE AREA OF SHERMAN OAKS TO ANOTHER -- and the 101 corridor residents are ALREADY burdened with noise & pollution from the freeway!</p> <p>THE ONLY ACCEPTABLE & ACTUAL SOLUTION WOULD BE WIDER, HIGHER FLIGHT PATHS THAT SPAN FROM SOUTH TO NORTH, EQUALLY DISTRIBUTING NOISE IMPACTS!</p> <p>I do NOT support current flight path changes!</p> <p>Sincerely, Ed Nelson Hartsook St. Sherman Oaks, CA</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:54 AM

NANCY	PERRY	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>Please do NOT implement current flight path changes!</p> <p>Moving flights NORTH from SOUTH is unfair to residents already suffering from 101 freeway impacts!</p> <p>Do NOT simply move the problem and noise from one group of Sherman Oaks residents to another!</p> <p>NO on proposed flight path changes moving warpath 3 over the 101 freeway!</p> <p>Nancy Perry Homeowner & Voter Sherman Oaks, CA</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:57 AM
Neal	Roden	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>As a resident of Sherman Oaks, I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points. Something needs to be done to limit the large increase in the amount of noise throughout the southern part of the valley. Establishing a noise monitoring program is a total necessity.</p> <p>Additionally, please know I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type for Van Nuys. The airport was not placed in the valley and/or designed to accomodate passenger airlines. It is also necessary to continue to phase out Stage 3 jets from VNY airport.</p> <p>I urge you to establish local community governance of the airport so we can control what happens at VNY and follow the example set by SMO airport. Re-closing the customs office will also increase security and redirect those planes to LAX or Burbank.</p> <p>VNY needs to be more considerate of its neighborhood location and the impact all of the increases are having on the entire SF Valley. Thank you.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 10:57 AM
andy	spilkoman	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>The flight paths should stay as they are now, evenly distributed. To make one neighborhood endure the brunt of all the flightpaths is not right, or fair. Thank You.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:01 AM
MARY ANN	FRAGODT	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I support reverting VNY flight paths to their historical paths turning points and prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. What is your vision for the VNY master plan? Will it establish a sound measurement program across the San Fernando Valley and publish a monthly report of all voluntary early -turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators? Will it establish Local Community Governance and no more federal funding as demonstrated by Santa Monica?</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:08 AM
Clarissa	Lerchenfeld Keller	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>Please relieve us from the bombardment of constant earsplitting jet noise and toxic emissions right above our house!</p> <p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working).</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY.</p> <p>Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today)</p> <p>Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option)</p> <p>Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program</p> <p>Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators</p> <p>Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley</p> <p>Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:08 AM

September 7, 2021

Rodney	Fragodt	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I support reverting VNY flight paths to their historical paths turning points and prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. What is your vision for the VNY master plan? Will it establish a sound measurement program across the San Fernando Valley and publish a monthly report of all voluntary early -turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators? Will it establish Local Community Governance and no more federal funding as demonstrated by Santa Monica?	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:09 AM
Sherri	Smith	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	We live in Sherman Oaks under the proposed new route for takes of and landings. Proximity to Sherman Oaks Hospital's helipad and the freeway, in addition to frequent freeway helicopter incidents and flights from Van Nuys airport make a considerable amount of noise and pollution over the area. Even with double paned windows the house rattles. My husband and I work from home. While I'm aware it's a challenge for any neighborhood, the constant traffic and CHP activity over our area (where the 405 and 101 meet) means a reroute over our neighborhood would be an undue burden.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:09 AM
Lynn	Crosswaite	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I support the FAA proposal for redoing flight paths at VNY!!!	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:11 AM
Caroline	Saad	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I support reverting VNY flight paths to their historical paths turning points and prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. What is your vision for the VNY master plan? Will it establish a sound measurement program across the San Fernando Valley and publish a monthly report of all voluntary early -turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators? Will it establish Local Community Governance and no more federal funding as demonstrated by Santa Monica?	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:11 AM
Lynn	Crosswaite	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type!!!	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:14 AM
Carla	Braverman	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:16 AM
Alan	Braverman	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	I support the FAA proposals	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:21 AM
Tracy	Lilienfield	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	Dear CAC, Please support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points!!! Please support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. Please support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews are not working). Please support phasing out of Stage 3 jets. Thank you for paying attention to Encino residents like me who are practically experiencing PTSD from all the low-flying jets. Thank you for taking firm action! What Van Nuys Airport is doing to the flatlands of Encino, especially the neighborhoods between Havenhurst and Haskell between the 101 Freeway and Ventura Blvd -- which never had this problem until several years ago -- is practically criminal! Our homes are 5 miles from the airport, but jets are constantly thundering over our heads at .04 miles and altitudes under 3000 ft. THIS IS NOT OKAY!!!	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:22 AM
Robert	Brown	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I support reverting VNY flight paths to their historical paths turning points and prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. What is your vision for the VNY master plan? Will it establish a sound measurement program across the San Fernando Valley and publish a monthly report of all voluntary early -turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators? Will it establish Local Community Governance and no more federal funding as demonstrated by Santa Monica?	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:23 AM
Lydia	Brown	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I support reverting VNY flight paths to their historical paths turning points and prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. What is your vision for the VNY master plan? Will it establish a sound measurement program across the San Fernando Valley and publish a monthly report of all voluntary early -turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators? Will it establish Local Community Governance and no more federal funding as demonstrated by Santa Monica?	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:23 AM

Victoria	Westley	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I support reverting VNY flight paths to their historical paths turning points and prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. What is your vision for the VNY master plan? Will it establish a sound measurement program across the San Fernando Valley and publish a monthly report of all voluntary early -turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators? Will it establish Local Community Governance and no more federal funding as demonstrated by Santa Monica?	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:26 AM
David	Westley	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I support reverting VNY flight paths to their historical paths turning points and prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. What is your vision for the VNY master plan? Will it establish a sound measurement program across the San Fernando Valley and publish a monthly report of all voluntary early -turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators? Will it establish Local Community Governance and no more federal funding as demonstrated by Santa Monica?	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:26 AM
Rodney	Fragodt	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I support reverting VNY flight paths to their historical paths turning points and prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. What is your vision for the VNY master plan? Will it establish a sound measurement program across the San Fernando Valley and publish a monthly report of all voluntary early -turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators? Will it establish Local Community Governance and no more federal funding as demonstrated by Santa Monica?	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:28 AM
David	Westley	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I support reverting VNY flight paths to their historical paths turning points and prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. What is your vision for the VNY master plan? Will it establish a sound measurement program across the San Fernando Valley and publish a monthly report of all voluntary early -turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators? Will it establish Local Community Governance and no more federal funding as demonstrated by Santa Monica?	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:28 AM
Steve	Levenson	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	CAC, please give your full support to the FAA proposal to revert flight paths at Van Nuys Airport, which will mirror their historic patterns for departures. Encino is getting slammed by jets, day in and day out, and we did not buy our homes knowing that the airport would change the flight paths. As a result, we can't even sit in our backyards anymore, can't open windows for fresh air, can't enjoy peace and quiet. I also ask that you tell the FAA that they need to act quicker than 18 to 24 months. Thank you.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:31 AM
Donald	Fenning	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I am deeply disturbed by the disturbance of peace and safety issues created by jets invading our neighborhood from VNY departures..</p> <p>We support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points. The abuse of our air space by charters and executive jets is very disturbing, unsafe and abusive our our air space! The proliferation of late night and early morning departures has shown no respect for curfews so mandatory limits and strict curfew must be set for VNY air traffic</p> <p>Furthremore: I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type from VNY. I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working). I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>The diversion of more jet flights to VNY after Santa Monica closure and expansion of charters and other private jet flights must stop.. We need a Master Plan for Van Nuys Airport which places limits on commercial and charter use by jet services.</p> <p>Please revert to old traffic patterns, establish curfews and limit expansion of VNY jet traffic.</p> <p>Donald Fenning Encino, Ca 818 4166561</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:33 AM

Donald	Fenning	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>We support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points. The abuse of our air space by charters and executive jets is very disturbing, unsafe and abusive our our air space! The proliferation of late night and early morning departures has shown no respect for curfews so mandatory limits and strict curfew must be set for VNY air traffic</p> <p>The diversion of more jet flights to VNY after Santa Monica closure and expansion of charters and other private jet flights must stop.. We need a Master Plan for Van Nuys Airport which places limits on commercial and charter use by jet services.</p> <p>Please revert to old traffic patterns, establish curfews and limit expansion of VNY jet traffic.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:34 AM
Lisa	Morlas	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	<p>I have become aware that there is a proposal to redirect the flight path to my neighborhood. I live on the 14000 block of Huston Street, near Van Nuys Blvd and very close to the 101 freeway. I am also not far from the 405 freeway. The flight path should not be changed, because the planes should be evenly spread throughout the area, as they currently are now. I have lived here for over thirty years. I have experienced much noise and air pollution from the freeways, helicopters and planes that already fly overhead. Although my grown son is no longer affected, the neighborhood children are continually exposed to this noise and toxic air pollution. I am now retired and am home most of the time. I would like to enjoy this time at home without additional pollution. Please do not make it worse by redirecting the flight path to my area. Thank you for considering my request.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:35 AM
Llyswen	Franks	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I am very much in favor of the FAA's proposed VNY Departure Procedures and want to thank everyone on the CAC and at Van Nuys Airport (Thank you Flora!) and LAWA for working hard to push the FAA to design a plan that will revert the departure paths to as close to historical and conventional paths as possible but using the newer technology. But now that you have asked them to design the paths, please push them to get it into action as quickly as possible! We have suffered for four years, we need it implemented now! I am also interested to see how you develop the master plan for Van Nuys Airport in collaboration with communities impacted by your airport. Van Nuys is growing, and while it brings revenue and jobs to the valley, it is important that the airport's growth and plans are sustainable with the valley itself. Im looking forward to seeing the vision that the CAC and LAWA come up with in the new master plan.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:37 AM
Jim	Armogida	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I strongly support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points. Correcting the damage done by the initial RNAV changes is long overdue. The fact that those changes were made in the first place shows that the FAA wasn't thinking about the communities on the ground. The fact that it has taken so much effort and time to try to get the changes reversed after so many complaints and so much evidence that they have disrupted our community is hard to believe. Please, do the right thing: Return flights to their historical paths.</p> <p>I also support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews do not work), prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type, and phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>Thank you for your time.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:37 AM

Debra	Reynolds	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working).</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>I would like you to discuss the following with respect to the master plan:</p> <p>Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY.</p> <p>Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today)</p> <p>Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option)</p> <p>Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program</p> <p>Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators</p> <p>Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley</p> <p>Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:44 AM
sue	steinberg	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>The increased NOISE and TOXIC FUMES from Van Nuys Airport is becoming unbearable. Living adjacent to VNY, fumes are so bad we CAN'T BREATHE. AQMD/FAA/LAWA must do an environmental impact study ASAP.</p> <p>I support instituting MANDATORY night curfews because "voluntary" is NOT working. Planes are now flying 24/7 - and they're not all emergency flights. Helicopters need to follow the suggested flight paths, and stop flying over adjacent neighborhoods.</p> <p>Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators so they're held accountable.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:45 AM
North	Resident	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	Object to re-routing aircraft near the 101.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:45 AM
Jeffrey	Hartsough	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment. The Sherman Oaks Neighborhood Council (SONC) passed a motion at their July 12, 2021 Board Meeting, as follows:</p> <p>Re: CF 19-0492. Van Nuys Airport / Hollywood-Burbank Airport / NextGen Initiative / Community Impact.</p> <p>SONC supports LAWA and FAA's collaborative relationship in their working group's efforts to bring relief to the Sherman Oaks community and other affected communities and encourages LAWA to continue engaging with FAA in advocating for solutions that provide equitable and fair benefits for the entirety of Sherman Oaks.</p> <p>I have received multiple emails from SONC stakeholders regarding this proposal. Many for and many against. In keeping with the July 12, 2021 motion and keeping in mind the safe operation of the airport, I encourage the VNY Departure Procedures to disperse to the maximum extent possible the departures from VNY, providing equal treatment to all SONC stakeholders, insuring that no part of the community benefits at the expense of another part of the community.</p> <p>Jeffrey Hartsough President Sherman Oaks Neighborhood Council</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 11:57 AM
Joey	Rockenstein	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	Please don't redirect planes over our neighborhood. It is the Ernies Walk neighborhood located between Kester and Van Nuys, magnolia to Valleyheart and La Maida in Sherman Oaks. We are already suffering from helicopter traffic and pollution from the freeways. Please don't add to that and affect our health and home values.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 12:00 PM
joel	Marks	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I support a phase out of Stage 3 jets. These old jets include some very old stage 2 jets with not very "hush" retrofit kits.</p> <p>I also support the proposed departure redesign to overfly more of the Sepulveda basin.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 12:08 PM

nancy	blaustein	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	The departure of planes from Burbank airport should be distributed evenly between the neighborhoods North and South of Ventura. To move the flight pattern to exclusively south of Ventura Blvd (north) doesn't take into account the other noise that these neighborhoods experience. To move the flight pattern over the 101 freeway doesn't take into consideration how much the neighborhoods there already suffer from freeway noise, news and other helicopters. The ONLY appropriate solution is higher, wider flight paths, not just moving the problem to another area already affected by the freeway's negative impacts!	9/7/2021	09/07/21 12:19 PM
Craig	Buck	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 12:37 PM
Karina	Buck	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 12:38 PM
Stephanie	Chambers	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points. I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working). I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 12:49 PM
Neal	Marshall	V. A. Department of City Planning, Community Plan Update/VNY Airport Plan – Discussion/Action	I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points. I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type. I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working). I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 1:00 PM
Barbara	Levy	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I strongly OPPOSE the proposed flight path changes for VN Airport! Do NOT move the paths over the 101 freeway - that is NOT a fair or equitable resolution as it simply moves the problem over another group of neighbors - and ones that are already dealing with pollution (and NOISE!) from the 101 freeway! DO NOT ADOPT THE PROPOSED FLIGHT PATHS! Create a new flight path grid that is wider and also higher so no one area will be unfairly impacted by the noise! Simply moving the noise from the south to the north of Sherman Oaks is NOT a "solution." OPPOSE FLIGHT PATH CHANGES! Sincerely, Barbara Levy Sherman Oaks, CA	9/7/2021	09/07/21 1:15 PM

Victoria	Miller	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>The Encino Neighborhood Council (ENC) fully endorses and supports moving forward with the Proposed Redesign of RNAV Flight Procedures for Van Nuys Airport (VNY) presented at the August 9, 2021, virtual community briefing by Los Angeles World Airports (LAWA) and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). The proposed redesign, in step with the Southern San Fernando Valley Airplane Noise Task Force (Task Force) Report Recommendation #2, mimicking pre-NextGen historic flight paths departing from VNY as closely as possible. The ENC previously supported the Task Force resolution in its entirety by filing a formal Community Impact Statement (CIS) in 2020. We respectfully ask the Los Angeles City Council, LAWA, and the FAA to proceed and implement the proposal as expeditiously as feasibly possible.</p> <p>Whereas,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> oIn 2018 the FAA modified VNY departure procedures, resulting in a significant southerly shift of flight paths onto “new communities” located miles away from VNY that had never before been impacted by VNY operations. The change also was executed without appropriate supporting environment studies, sufficient public notice of the planned change, and was counter to long-communicated FAA policy of not moving noise onto new communities. oThe flight path changes resulted in annual VNY noise complaints increasing from hundreds, to hundreds of thousands. oIn response to community concerns, the Task Force, Los Angeles City Council, VNY Citizens Advisory Council, and the ENC all passed motions requesting LAWA work with the FAA to revert the Metroplex flight procedures to patterns that more closely mirrored the historic flight paths successfully used for decades with minimal community concern. oLAWA and the FAA have now developed a solution that reflects the historic flight paths. oThe FAA stated that the proposed solution is the best technically and operationally feasible option to address the change requests put forth by the Task Force. oThe next step calls for Los Angeles City officials to give the FAA their approval for an in-depth technical and environmental evaluation of the proposal via an 18 to 24-month process that will include multiple checkpoints along the way for public review, comment, and potential design modifications; no flight path changes having been authorized, finalized, or implemented at this time. <p>Therefore, the Encino Neighborhood Council,</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 1:19 PM
Andrew	Franzman	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I firmly oppose the change in flight path to one near the 101 fwy. We are already negatively impacted by noise and air pollution as a result and would prefer to share the burden with a wider and higher flight path.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 1:21 PM
tami	Kurian	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	OBJECT There is already freeway noise and so many planes already flying overhead including helicopters - No to this added noise pollution	9/7/2021	09/07/21 1:26 PM
Karen	Kirchner	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I am in support of the FAA proposal to alter VNY departure routes.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 1:33 PM
Jefrey	Jacobs	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working).</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY.</p> <p>Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today)</p> <p>Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option)</p> <p>Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program</p> <p>Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators</p> <p>Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley</p> <p>Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 1:35 PM

Jessica	Little	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I like north of 101. Please don't have the flight path move north. We already have the freeway noise and constant helicopters.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 1:35 PM
Robert	Dressel	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	This is a no-brainer. We all deal with the heavy overhead noise as it is. We all need to share the burden. South of the Blvd or anyone else does not deserve special treatment for this. I'm sure they're saying it's because they pay higher property taxes. That's based on the value of their house which they already benefit from. It's not about property values, it's about quality of life. For everyone.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 1:38 PM
Charles	Willson	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I live in the Sherman Oaks hills and I support changing the VNY departure flight path to its original flight path of a few years ago. I would really appreciate an enforcement of overnight curfews. I hear jets and helicopters taking off from VNY during the night. Thanks for your consideration.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 1:42 PM
Sheldon	Rubin	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	The proposed concentration of flight patterns is unfair to those below the concentration. Dispersing the pattern safely is the reasonable approach. Why should a part of the area be completely freed of overflight? Let a sensible review be conducted before a final decision.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 1:44 PM
Stephanie	Baio	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	The comment that the path will be restored to the historic flight path is not true. VNY Redesign is not historical but is directed at Studio City and there needs to be modifications to these proposed procedures to include safeguards so that the jets don't make a large loop over Studio City foothills and mountains.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 1:44 PM
Lorraine	Johnson	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I live on Oakfield Drive in Sherman Oaks and 100% support the following:</p> <p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points.</p> <p>I support prohibiting scheduled passenger service of any type.</p> <p>I support instituting mandatory night curfews (voluntary night curfews re not working).</p> <p>I support phasing-out Stage 3 jets.</p> <p>Please also consider the following:</p> <p>Establish Local Community Governance – Follow SMO example and refuse federal funding so we can control what happens at VNY.</p> <p>Re-institute Landing Fees (LAX uses them today)</p> <p>Re-close the Customs Office as was done previously (LAX offers a more comprehensive and secure option)</p> <p>Include all Non-Emergency Aircraft in the Voluntary Curfew Program</p> <p>Publish a monthly report of all voluntary early-turn, takeoff noise, and night curfew program violators</p> <p>Establish a noise monitoring program across the SF Valley</p> <p>Conduct periodic air quality studies across the SF Valley</p> <p>Thank you so much!</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 1:56 PM
Marianne	Davis	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	WE MUST respect the surrounding communities and commit to quiet skies over the SFV.... large jets flying over bedroom communities is an outrage and will fuel combative relationships for years to come. We MUST hold airplane vendors to avoiding flights during early morning and late times, and keep big jets out of the skies over homes that have been here for decades.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 1:57 PM
Linda	Clarke	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>Please support the FAA Proposed Redesign that returns VNY flight paths closer to where they were for decades, using air traffic control procedures that support higher, faster rates of ascent and vectoring planes to the north as quickly as it is safe to do so.</p> <p>The dramatic, unprecedented and severely impactful change 3-4 years ago that has been directing a constant, substantial number of increasingly large, very noisy low flying jets into and across multiple communities the Santa Monica Mountain by day and night must be addressed now. The massive impact to residents, schools, and protected parkland of the Santa Monica Mountains is consequential, as is potential risk in the high risk fire zone over which jets have now been flying.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 1:58 PM

Ann	Rubin	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	Please do not change the flight path to a narrower option. We live very close to the 101 and the 405 interchange . We already have flights from Burbank and Van Nuys crossing overhead. It is not justified to greatly increase the planes over us in order to satisfy the affluent homeowners living south of Ventura Blvd who can afford expensive lawyers to help change existing flight patterns selected for the greater good.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 2:28 PM
STUDIO CITY FOR QUIET SKIES	STUDIO CITY FOR QUIET SKIES	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>We can only support the Proposed Redesign if FAA answers critical questions and provides data including simulated flight tracks and concentration densities, and if the heavily burdened southeast SFV has assurances that we will not suffer increased impacts from a new RNAV flight path from VNY.</p> <p>Safeguards include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.FAA to add written protections to the VNY Proposed Redesign to make this flight track the shortest possible: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.For VNY and BUR, FAA will provide resources for additional required ATC/TRACON staff, with the required special training, perhaps coupled with automation, to accomplish “up and out” early turns, prior to WP3, once MVA and separation standards are met. 2.FAA to secure formalized written agreements (Memo Of Understanding, Letter Of Agreement and/or Standard Operating Procedure) as required, between facilities (ATCT and SCT sectors) to incorporate and ensure issuance of vectors as soon as practicable, and avoidance of areas already heavily impacted by BUR southeast of WP3. 2.Computer Simulations of expected tracks, concentration densities, and altitudes must be made available to the public, before proceeding. This will be useful both in assessing impacts from the Proposed Redesign prior to proceeding from the conceptual phase, and as a guideline during Post Implementation analysis to determine whether aircraft are flying as expected. 3.FAA to set clear goals regarding tracks, concentration densities, and altitudes. One goal must be to get “up and out” thereby creating the shortest path possible by turning PRIOR TO WP3. This goal will protect many communities (both north and south) that are overflown during the longer loop and will avoid increased impacts on already highly impacted hillside communities along the 110-heading southeast of WP3. 4.Speed must be addressed and a maximum speed imposed so that jets don’t reach and roar through the hills before vectors can be directed by ATC/TRACON. 5.Preliminary noise screen based on models and to include BUR impacts, made available to the public prior to proceeding from the conceptual phase. 6.We need more time to assess and to suggest modifications and alternatives. FAA has not yet provided a fair public process. 	9/7/2021	09/07/21 3:21 PM
Margaret	MacMillan	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I highly object to this rerouting of Eastbound aircraft. Those of us who live north of the boulevard have for a long time suffered the greatest from increasing aircraft noise and pollution. We need a fair and equitable distribution of these negative impacts. As neighbors and tax payers, it is time we share the burden.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 3:54 PM
Jill	Weiser	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	I hope that you support the FAA’s proposal to redesign the departure procedures. The situation they created with the implementation of the PPRRY waypoint has become an unlivable nightmare are for countless residents who woke up one morning to hundreds of low flying jets over homes that were never in flights paths prior to next gen. We are still being woken up throughout the night as the curfew at VNY is only voluntary . The FAA’s proposal at least tries to mimic the flight paths that were in place before the addition of the PPRRY waypoint which was created WITHOUT ANY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STUDIES OR COMMUNITY INPUT.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 4:24 PM
Jill	Weiser	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	You should be aware that tonight is Rosh Hashanah so many people celebrating this holiday will not be able to submit a comment or call in. I	9/7/2021	09/07/21 4:26 PM
Natalie	Cariola	III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	I live south of Ventura. Every five minutes a plane less than one mile away flies over my head. This noise is unbearable and unfair to those of us that have homes we purchased never believing we would live with flight patterns such as this. If you have many comments objecting to the flight pattern north of the 101 it is because a woman auto populated a link to send these comments anytime someone unknowingly clicked this link.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 4:50 PM

Aileen	Henderson	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>As it stands now, it is impossible for me to sit in my yard and have a phone conversation because I cannot hear the person on the phone over the personal jets who don't get damn if they are fined for noise pollution, the 2 Dell Boeing V-22 Ospreys which fly so low it causes our house to shake and sets off our car alarms, the police and private helicopters at all hours of the day and night, which even sit on the Comerica Bank late at night with their propellers spinning, along with all the older vintage planes which fly low to the ground.</p> <p>Our neighborhood is constantly subjected to unacceptable noise levels originating from the Van Nuys Airport 24/7, which have consistently worsened over the past 10-20 years. Therefore, it is absolutely unacceptable and downright abusive for the Van Nuys Airport, the FAA, our city officials, and elected representatives, to compound the level of noise pollution in our neighborhood, by moving the departure turn line from Mulholland Drive, where it was deliberately placed during the design of the Van Nuys Airport to quiet the sound of departing flights.</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 5:34 PM
Reid	Taylor	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I support the FAA's proposed redesign of VNY southern departures, reverting VNY flight paths to their historical turning points. However, that's only part of the problem. The plan to increase air traffic produces an alarming rise in health and safety hazards, not only to the public, but the surrounding topography. That means more flooding and more fog, producing more car accidents on the 405 and 101 freeways. That sort of business practice can't be morally and ethically acceptable to anybody, particularly a government entity such as the FAA, which should be held in high regard and set the highest standards that are good for all, not just some.</p> <p>This is where the frustration comes in – I believe – for both sides; whether folks are calling in/writing in "for" or "against" the volume of aircraft arriving and departing Van Nuys airport... Apologies if I come across as being egotistical when I say that I believe I speak for folks on both sides, when saying that nobody wants to be calling in to complain about this issue. But, there are clear, serious problems.</p> <p>Nobody wants to egregiously take funds away from the airport – keep the airport! For the sake of every community in the vicinity. But something needs to be done, so that we can all revert to living productive lives, and not continue down this currently, counterproductive existence.</p> <p>A compromise is needed in any given business situation, whether it's public, government or private sectors. However, it feels as though the FAA implements loopholes in laws and regulations so that there's no alternative other than the one THEY subjectively determine to be effective according to whatever data they construe to be effective.</p> <p>Thank you</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 6:39 PM
Debbie	Backjan	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	<p>I OPPOSE CHANGING THE FLIGHT PATH.</p> <p>Do NOT move it north over the 101 freeway (WP3).</p> <p>The ONLY equitable decision is higher, wider flight paths over both the Southern and Central parts of Sherman Oaks--NOT simply moving flights North!</p> <p>Thank you, Debbie Backjan Sherman Oaks Homeowner</p>	9/7/2021	09/07/21 6:46 PM

Denise and Jay	Gruska	IV. C. Proposed Redesign of VNY Departure Procedures – Discussion/Action	Dear CAC, We live in the hills of Sherman Oaks and we understand that there will always be planes overhead. There were planes over us before the Departure paths were changed. There were just far fewer directly over us, and they were much higher up in the sky. So we are not petitioning you to get rid of all planes. We know that that would be impossible. Rather, we are advocating for what it used to be, which is a wide dispersion and a steeper incline of the planes so that everyone gets some of them, and no one gets all of them. Also, instead of pitting neighborhood against neighborhood, we would do better to all join forces and petition the FAA as one for help with the aspects that we all DO agree on, which are putting the paths over as much empty or industrial space as possible, and having the planes take off at a steeper incline, among other things. There is such a dense population surrounding this airport, and we know that they want to be good neighbors, so let's work with our common ground, and our common sense to keep the planes as dispersed and as high in the air as possible. Thank you.	9/7/2021	09/07/21 8:50 PM
----------------	--------	--	---	----------	------------------