

| First Name | Last Name | Item | Comment | CAC Meeting Date | Created |
|----------------|-------------|--|--|------------------|------------------|
| Daniel | Prisk | IX. Advisory Council Members' Comments | This airport claims to be a fly friendly airport...The landing aircraft fly low over the houses on the west side of Hayvenhurst, causing alot of noise.... I noticed if my pool pump doesn't run for a few days there is a light oily film that floats on my pool. There is nothing friendly about any of this. | 1/9/2024 | 01/07/24 4:30 PM |
| Evelyn | Gray | IV. Public Comment Period | <p>I bought my home on Hamlin St just west of Hayvenhurst in July, 2011. In the past 12 years I have noticed a drastic and unpleasant increase in the air traffic at VNY, specifically the commercial jets and other, louder jets as well as increased helicopter traffic that flies directly over my home. On some days the jet fumes are bad, I'm afraid of what I am inhaling as well as my beloved pets and wildlife. The noise is toxic too. The jets flying at 3, 4, 5 am disrupt my sleep. I am a retired senior.</p> <p>Moving is not an option. What is an option is for the airport to be contained and not expanded.</p> <p>I oppose appointments of any airport tenants to the CAC. This is a conflict of interest, they are not adversely affected by the airport and likely profit from any proposed expansion. I have heard there is someone named Jason Price in particular who should not be on a "Citizens" Advisory Committee.</p> <p>I do support Motion 23-1339 for a criteria driven-new "Specific Airport Plan" that includes the recommended "6 Steps"</p> | 1/9/2024 | 01/07/24 6:55 PM |
| SAVE OUR SKIES | LOS ANGELES | IV. Public Comment Period | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The members of SAVE OUR SKIES LA support Motion 23-1339 for a criteria driven new Specific Airport Plan that includes the recommended "6 Steps." • We remain opposed to airport tenant and airport-profiting CAC appointments such as Jason Price and Robert Rodine who demonstrate bias and the suppression of community voices as they profit from VNY operations and doing business with VNY tenants. This is a CONFLICT OF INTEREST that defies the founding documents of the CAC composition. LA City Councilmember John Ferraro's original 1985 motion that gave rise to the VNY CAC specifically called for a "Citizens Adcisory Council for VNY comprised of people who reside, work, and operate businesses in the surrounding area....," and not for people from and profiting from airport businesses to be a part of the Council. Without this, the CAC cannot perform the role it for which it was intended, which was to give the community a real voice and ability to have meaningful input into Van Nuys Airport. • We remain opposed to the Bonseph-Helinet proposal which was passed without required transparency and community input, and which represents the continuing dominating and undue influence that the Van Nuys Airport Association has on LAWA VNY staff, BOAC, and development at VNY. This dynamic continues to contravene the LAWA Code of Ethics, particularly provisions relating to open government and public trust, customers and stakeholders, compliance with existing rules and regulations, conflicts of interest, supervision, procurement, confidential information, and accurate and exemplary report writing. VNAA exerts inappropriate influence and control over LAWA actions at VNY. This relationship---akin to a built-in lobbyist for airport businesses and developers-- needs to be called out and halted because a private association of businesses that profits from airport development should not be in a position to continually shape and influence what happens at the airport. | 1/9/2024 | 01/08/24 7:11 AM |
| Linda | Jackson | IV. Public Comment Period | <p>I support Motion 23-1339 for a criteria-driven new Specific Airport Plan that includes the recommended "6 Steps"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I remain in opposition of airport tenant CAC appointments that evidence conflict of interest. • The toxic fumes that pollute our neighborhood are a great concern to me for reasons of health and property value. We have invested heavily in the beautification and upgrade of our home and do not wish to move due to the inconsiderate actions of LAWA and the administration of the Van Nuys Airport. When will an Environment Impact Report take place? | 1/9/2024 | 01/08/24 1:09 PM |

| | | | | | |
|----------|-----------|---------------------------|---|----------|-------------------|
| Joshua | Goldstein | IV. Public Comment Period | I want to make it known to CAC that I fully support Motion 23-1339 before the LA City Council and also fully support all the steps in lays out for the Specific Airport Plan. The unchecked expansion of VNY and the reckless increase in jet traffic has a negative impact on the community and the environment. The CAC was meant to represent the people and businesses that reside around VNY and act as a check on the interests of the airport businesses. Community residents demand a moratorium on expansion and full impact assessment. The huge and shameless carbon polluting of VNY's private jets are a blot on the record of our city and entirely counter to city's noble and urgent goal of confronting the climate emergency. Thank you! | 1/9/2024 | 01/08/24 8:14 PM |
| Meredith | Rose | IV. Public Comment Period | I strongly support Motion 23-1339 for a new Specific Airport Plan that includes the "6 Steps" which have been recommended. I am really disturbed that council appointments include people who have a direct conflict of interest due to financial interests in VNY. The council needs to be composed of folks who live and work in the surrounding area, as originally called for back in 1985 by John Ferraro. Please support a true "Citizens" Council that reflects those who must endure the increased air traffic, pollution, noise and overall busyness of VNY as it becomes a major hub for private jet traffic. I appreciate your attention to my comments. | 1/9/2024 | 01/08/24 8:37 PM |
| sue | steinberg | IV. Public Comment Period | <p>My immediate concern at this point, is the lack of SECURITY in light of what's happening politically in the world. VNY is a prime target, and this is something that needs to be addressed ASAP. Too bad if it's an inconvenience for those flying privately. This is something we ALL have to deal with - even the 1% who find it bothersome.</p> <p>ALSO...2023 ended with numerous flights from VNY all hours of the night and day - as one would expect during the holidays, and of course continuing thru the NYE holiday. But then right as 2024 begins - it's business as usual with the noise from helicopters, small planes, and especially that of jets going all hours, one after another, after another, after another (etc) Once again with absolutely NO regard for the tens of thousands of valley residents who have to put up with this climate and health hazard going on at VNY. And those of us here in close proximity (THE POCKET) also have to endure the noxious poisonous fumes that are a constant threat to our health.</p> <p>I wish the new members on the CAC Board the best of luck. A reminder that they - and ALL the CAC board - is there to represent the community's needs, not just those inve\$ted in business at VNY. And as the Chairman, I hope Jason Price will remember that as well, since he sets the tone for the meetings. We can't continue with "business as usual" and allow more air traffic in and out of VNY. There's a way to do business, without harming those who are negatively impacted DAILY by VNY.</p> | 1/9/2024 | 01/08/24 9:15 PM |
| Victoria | Miller | IV. Public Comment Period | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I continue to support CF 23-1339 that calls for a criteria driven new Specific Airport Plan, which includes the recommended and previously presented "6 Steps" • With all due respect, I strongly oppose] airport tenants and airport-profiting CAC appointments such as Jason Price and Robert Rodine, who demonstrate bias and the suppression of community voices as they simultaneously profit from VNY operations while doing business with, or as, VNY tenants. This is a clear CONFLICT OF INTEREST that defies the founding documents of the CAC composition. Former Los Angeles Councilmember John Ferraro's original 1995 motion that gave rise to the VNY CAC specifically called for a "Citizen's Advisory Council for VNY comprised of people who reside, work, and operate businesses in the surrounding area...", and not for VNY tenants and those profiting from airport businesses to be a part of the Council. Without this, the CAC cannot perform the role for which it was intended— which was to give the community a real voice and ability to have meaningful input into Van Nuys Airport. <p>Thank you for your time.</p> | 1/9/2024 | 01/08/24 10:54 PM |
| Tiffany | Paulsen | IV. Public Comment Period | PLEASE do something about Van Nuys airport! As a local resident of TWELVE YEARS i've seen my quality of life and my property value plummet due to CONSTANT CONSTANT airplane traffic. HOW DID THIS HAPPEN TO OUR COMMUNITY!?! The noise and air pollution are CONSTANT! IT's non stop! My backyard enjoyment is null. We are awakened in the middle of the night and all hours with engine noise. There is no regulation or curfews - PLEASE DO SOMETHING!!!!!! I support MOTION 23-1339 - WE NEED HELP!!!!!!!!!!!!!! | 1/9/2024 | 01/09/24 8:33 AM |

| | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------|---------------------------|--|----------|-------------------|
| Justin | D | IV. Public Comment Period | <p>I support Motion 23-1339 for a criteria driven new Specific Airport Plan that includes the recommended "6 Steps".</p> <p>I am opposed to airport tenant and airport-profiting CAC appointments like Jason Price and Robert Rodine, who demonstrate bias and the suppression of community voices as they profit from VNY operations and doing business with VNY tenants.</p> <p>This is a CONFLICT OF INTEREST that defies the founding documents of the CAC composition.</p> <p>LA City Councilmember John Ferraro's original 1995 motion that gave rise to the VNY CAC specifically called for a "Citizen's Advisory Council for VNY comprised of people who reside, work, and operate businesses in the surrounding area..," and not for VNY tenants and those profiting from airport businesses to be a part of the Council.</p> <p>Without this, the CAC cannot perform the role for which it was intended, which was to give the community a real voice and ability to have meaningful input into Van Nuys Airport.</p> <p>I do not live near Van Nuys Airport, and yet it negatively impacts my life with 24/7 flights at low altitudes turning over my home. Please ensure that your development plans and the composition of the CAC support area residents, including the broader impact zone for the airport that extends from Woodland Hills to Studio City.</p> <p>Thank you for considering my comments.</p> | 1/9/2024 | 01/09/24 10:16 AM |
| QSWH | Community Group | IV. Public Comment Period | <p>Late last week QSWH inquired with the CAC Chair, Jason Price, about the January 9th agenda and possible inclusion of a community item. Our founder found it prudent to include Mayor staff on the communication as that has proven to be the ONLY way to receive responsible engagement from Mr. Price. The inquiry resulted in QSWH seeing no necessity for a community presentation in January however, the email thread ultimately demonstrated that the CAC Chair chooses to ignore the value in affording his cooperation and collaboration with impacted stakeholders and the Vice-Chair when it comes to flushing out agenda options or concerns each month. Mr. Price happily clings to the fact that it is the Chair's duty to ensure an agenda exists for meetings, while ignoring that there is no suggestion or rule prohibiting his cooperation and collaboration with impacted stakeholders or the Vice-Chair to ensure a productive and unbiased agenda each month that serve's the CAC's founding purpose.</p> <p>The reality is that Chairs or Presidents from Neighborhood Councils (NC) and the LAX Roundtable routinely collaborate with impacted stakeholders and their Vice or Co-Chairs on agendas every month. Our community group has communicated with several Valley NC Chairs/Presidents and received their complete cooperation with no combative experiences. Regrettably, the same can not be said of our experiences with Jason Price, and we are not alone in that complaint as reflected in public comments over the months/years. Additionally as a member of the LAX Roundtable, our founder routinely collaborates with the LAX Roundtable Chair on agenda ideas and/or concerns and so Mr. Price's excuses for not being cooperative and collaborative on CAC agendas each month, even with the Vice-Chair, falls upon deaf and concerned ears in the Valley.</p> <p>If we are to compare the LAX Roundtable Chair's reputation to that of the current CAC Chair's, we find that Mr. Denny Schneider is widely respected and in his approx 25 years as Chair of the Roundtable, there is NO known documentation of complaint over Denny's conduct. On the contrary, Mr. Price receives repeated complaints over his combative behavior and lack of cooperative and inclusive attitude. That comparison speaks volumes and negates Mr. Price's defensive excuses that it is "to be expected" for a Chair to receive negative comments as one can not please all HOWEVER, Mr. Schneider is also unable to satisfy everyone's wishes and yet he receives NO complaints on his character or potential conflict of interests. We feel that the documented history of Mr. Price's agenda choices validates community concern that the CAC Chair has a blatant airport business bias, which conflicts with CAC's purpose; and suggests to our group that Mr. Price acts in bad faith as a dictator in his role.</p> <p>Considering Jason Price directly profits from VNY operations, our experience with the CAC Chair continues to raise immense concern of the suppression of community interests and voices, and views of a blatant conflict of interests. Signed, Mrs. Glassco - QSWH signatory & communications volunteer and Valley resident</p> | 1/9/2024 | 01/09/24 10:50 AM |
| Lisa | Vaughan | IV. Public Comment Period | <p>I support Motion 23-1339 for a criteria driven new Specific Airport Plan that includes the recommended "6 Steps"</p> <p>We remain opposed to airport tenant and airport-profiting CAC appointments like Jason Price and Robert Rodine who demonstrate bias and the suppression of community voices as they profit from VNY operations and doing business with VNY tenants. This is a CLEAR CONFLICT OF INTEREST that defies the founding documents of the CAC composition. LA City Councilmember John Ferraro's original 1995 motion that gave rise to the VNY CAC specifically called for a "Citizen's Advisory Council for VNY comprised of people who reside, work, and operate businesses in the surrounding area..," and not for VNY tenants and those profiting from airport businesses to be a part of the Council. Without this, the CAC cannot perform the role for which it was intended— which was to give the surrounding community a real voice and ability to have meaningful input into Van Nuys Airport.</p> | 1/9/2024 | 01/09/24 11:10 AM |

| | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------------------------|--|----------|-------------------|
| John | Bjerke | IV. Public Comment Period | <p>Protect the intent of the CAC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I support Motion 23-1339 for a criteria driven new Specific Airport Plan that includes the recommended "6 Steps" • We remain opposed to airport tenant and airport-profiting CAC appointments like Jason Price and Robert Rodine who demonstrate bias and the suppression of community voices as they profit from VNY operations and doing business with VNY tenants. This is a CONFLICT OF INTEREST that defies the founding documents of the CAC composition. LA City Councilmember John Ferraro's original 1995 motion that gave rise to the VNY CAC specifically called for a "Citizen's Advisory Council for VNY comprised of people who reside, work, and operate businesses in the surrounding area.," and not for VNY tenants and those profiting from airport businesses to be a part of the Council. Without this, the CAC cannot perform the role for which it was intended— which was to give the community a real voice and ability to have meaningful input into Van Nuys Airport. | 1/9/2024 | 01/09/24 11:18 AM |
| Suzanne | Gutierrez | IV. Public Comment Period | <p>My name is Suzanne Gutierrez. I am a 20 year resident of CD6 and live right next to the Van Nuys Airport. I am also an active participant of the CAC meetings. Throughout the years, in my opinion, I have witnessed that the Chair of the CAC is not qualified to hold the position as he often shows bias against those that speak out against the detriment of VNY. I want to share three examples of said bias. There are more with documentation to back it up.</p> <p>1.) Jason Price owns and operates a flight school on the Van Nuys Airport property and at the biggest FBO monopoly which is Signature. He directly benefits from the airport and the founding documents specifically excluded those that profit from on airport property from being candidates for CAC.</p> <p>2.) Second, is when the Fumefighters asked to be on an upcoming agenda. We met with Jason in person at Sue's house on 11/28/22 where we first requested Fumefighters United be put on an upcoming agenda. Then after the Vision Study Open House on 04//19/23, Sue sent a subsequent email to Jason on 04/26/23 asking for Fumefighters United (and not Sue) to be put on the agenda. Both Wayne and Eliot sent follow-up emails in agreement. Jason never responded to any of the emails in that chain. On 07/27/23 Diana Sanchez called Sue Steinberg to let her know that Sue (and not Fumefighters United) was being put on the 08/01/23 CAC (5 days warning). This was only after the ad-hoc CAC members confronted LAWA with his refusal to put us on. Unfortunately, Sue couldn't participate on that date as she was out of town. Again, Jason never responded to any of the emails in this chain. On 08/14/23, Sue confirmed the Fumefighters United for the September CAC meeting for 09/05/23. Once Jason finally responded to an email, he was combative and resistant to the fact that our aviation consultant would be involved. We asked him multiple times to fix the agenda title and he refused. At no point should it have been only SUE STEINBERG on the agenda but that was how he labeled it in an immature defiance and example of dictatorship. The agenda was always supposed to include FUMEFIGHTERS UNITED so residents know the name of their local community group. Ultimately he ignored community requests because he is in charge of agenda items. For the record, we were still incorrectly labeled "Community Presentation on the Vision Study (Sue Steinberg et al) – Discussion/Action". The name Fumefighters United was never added and that was his goal despite both CAC members and impacted stakeholders asking repeatedly for reasonable cooperation. The name was supposed to be labeled "SoCalSFV Community Airport Plan PowerPoint presented by Fumefighters United co-founders Sue Steinberg and Suzanne Gutierrez + TBA Agent".</p> <p>3.) When Fumefighters presented on 9/6, Jason also included the Rolls Royce presentation. And the Rolls Royce presentation went first. Therefore proper discussion of the community presentation couldn't happen because of lack of time.</p> | 1/9/2024 | 01/09/24 11:43 AM |

| | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------|---|--|----------|-------------------|
| SoCalSVF | Aviation Community Group, Timi | IV. Public Comment Period | <p>The SoCalSFV Aviation Community Group, https://www.socalsfv.com/, shares concern over the CAC Chair's (viewed) conflict of interest considering that Jason Price directly profits from VNY operations, which contradicts the membership construction outlined in the founding documents. The VNY CAC was constructed to provide a platform for community interests and concerns over the the G.A. airport's land use developments and non-essential aircraft impact on surrounding areas. This is reflected in BOAC's Resolution No. 14891 where it states:</p> <p>"WHEREAS, the Board feel that the formation of this committee will lead to better communication with regard to community problems; and ... "</p> <p>No where in the Resolution does it state that airport interests are a priority or even relevant for the purpose of the VNY CAC and yet, Mr. Price's performance as Chair has resulted in viewed favoritism for VNY business promotion on the agenda, with experienced resistance for community driven agenda items. If nothing else, the agenda should reflect a 50/50 balance of interests. Community had to fight nearly 9 MONTHS to get the community presentation on the September 2023 agenda and then, it took the inclusion of the Mayor's staff to ensure Mr. Price acted within reason.</p> <p>We have found that the Chair's defiance, along with perplexing support from like-minded supporters, has effectively resulted in the negation or suppression of community voices and interests by means of alienation of equal agenda time. Despite many good actor efforts on the VNY CAC, the reality is that our group and the Valley groups affiliated with us do not agree that VNY CAC has been doing "good work" in making meaningful recommendations to our City leadership and the BOAC, nor many recommendations that otherwise properly represent the overwhelming stakeholder consensus as witnessed over the years in both written public comment and verbal comments.</p> <p>By and by, the overwhelming majority of collective comments are critical of VNY's transformation, stewardship, lack of policy that resulted in the failure to ensure critically needed modernization upgrades were included in the nearly \$100 million dollars worth of capital investments over the years (IE: electrified hangars/ramps, grey water infrastructure, noise/blight mitigation, and other land use controversies and yet, the VNY CAC routinely ignores the communities complaints of these unfathomable failures by they themselves failing to recommend the required changes. While biased opinion may disagree, my comments are substantiated by public and city records.</p> | 1/9/2024 | 01/09/24 11:47 AM |
| K.Spencer | West Hills | VI. b. VNAA proposed VNY Tenant Tours | <p>WHY is there no options to comment on the first agenda item, b. Approval of discussion notes for November 7, 2023? Where are these notes uploaded? Why is a report not included?</p> <p>RE: VNAA Proposed VNY Tenant Tours - what are they? Are they open to the public? Why is there no detail advising us what this is about? What does VNAA stand for???</p> <p>How are we supposed to make comment when these agendas tell us nothing?! This is a form of suppression. People can't comment if they are not informed and this directly reflect on the inadequacies of the Chair. I have long held contempt for the fact that the CAC Chair operates a business and profits from the airport. I view that as a direct CONFLICT OF INTEREST. Why is the Chair creating such vague agendas? Why isn't there a standing community impact report on these agendas? In the past few years I can name ONE good recommendation the VNY CAC has made, outside of the recent recommendation for City leadership to consider the community presentation's Steps to Success. FINALLY, one good action by the VNY CAC and I presume its because Jason Price was not present because I know there are a handful of responsible members on that board trying to represent surrounding communities.</p> | 1/9/2024 | 01/09/24 11:59 AM |
| Marianne | Davis | VI. a. Missed Approaches Runway 16R | <p>Writing about the CAC meeting tonight, not offered as an option in your menu.</p> <p>I oppose Jason Price and Robert Rodine being in control of ANY business, as they have major CONFLICT OF INTEREST. Haven't we had enough City corruption? Let's stay out of the media/legal arenas for a minute. Yesterday private jets were landing and taking off about every 5 minutes in the morning, directly over our heads in Encino. It was so loud I couldn't hear the birds sing. Let's clean up this situation and put a mechanism that is representative of the citizens in place. NO MORE CORRUPTION. Thank you</p> | 1/9/2024 | 01/09/24 12:04 PM |
| Chris | Chang | VII. a. Old Business - Response to Wayne's Letter | <p>I only learned of the VNY CAC last year and it is consistent that these agendas contain NO descriptive information what's so ever. I think the CAC chair needs to be replaced because this habitually keeps community in the dark.</p> <p>Who is Wayne? What is the letter about? When was it written? And for that matter, where are the discussion notes posted as referred to in 1b? And who is the VNAA and what tours are you talking about? Is this a joke?! I believe this nonsense is done DELIBERATELY to discourage public participation and keep us in the dark!!! Not everyone can make these meetings. WE DESERVE MATERIAL POSTED ONLINE!!!</p> <p>And isn't it a violation of the brown act to require our names to participate?!</p> | 1/9/2024 | 01/09/24 12:14 PM |

| | | | | | |
|------|---------|---------------------------|--|----------|-------------------|
| Mr V | Hurtado | IV. Public Comment Period | <p>Hello. I would like to express my sincere disappointment in this body and in the airport sponsor. First of all, suggesting a limit on our words is putting a limit on our voice and that is not right. I can understand reasonable measures however, 350 words is not reasonable and I suspect citizens could challenge the City for denying their right to be heard if the entire comment, when considered a single page, is not honored.</p> <p>I am frustrated by this body because I was able to attend two meetings last year. I watched as a certain number of the board members took offense to any expression of community concern by fellow members. It appeared to me that that appears to be personalities on the board that are there for their own motives or ego and not there to represent what I hear most people say in public comment. Democracy allows differing views, but isn't this body supposed to represent the impact and problems felt in the surrounding communities? I operate a business near the Van Nuys airport and I can tell you that we are adversely impacted. This is not just residents vs commercial interests, the current state of the airport is negatively impacting businesses too so I don't understand why commerce people on the board pretend to defend business when really you seem to only care to defend airport businesses and not the valley commerce entirely. While I do appreciate the efforts of a few fair people on the board, I do not feel they have majority because this body routinely fails to represent the interests of all businesses surrounding the airport and residents and fails to make any action toward suggestions that will actually improve the blaring problems at Van Nuys airport. Thank you.</p> | 1/9/2024 | 01/09/24 12:35 PM |
|------|---------|---------------------------|--|----------|-------------------|